

Subject: James Chung #2846913 / Complaint
From: "Andrew G. Watters" <andrew@watters.law>
Date: 4/26/26, 9:50 AM
To: AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov

Dear Attorney Grievance Committee,

I am a lawyer in California. My client is a music school in California. They received the attached second demand letter from a purported law firm in New York City that also claims to have an office in California. Essentially, the letter threatens my client with severe penalties and legal violations unless they pay a fee to the sender. This is like ADA accessibility litigation, but for the Information Age.

Setting aside the lack of technical merit in the demand letter, this method of trolling/prospecting for business is illegal in California because, for one, neither the responsible attorney nor the claimed client are disclosed in the demand letter. And the law firm's website discloses no admitted California attorneys despite claiming an office in Los Angeles. The law firm also appears not to be registered with the Secretary of State or the State Bar of California, contrary to law, despite having a California address and being a LLP.

Essentially, this is wire fraud in an effort to shake down innocent victims who may not have the technical proficiency to understand that the claims made in the letter are completely and totally baseless. The "access code" shown on the letter indicates this is a semi-automated, high-volume operation, in which an unknown percentage of victims self-refer to the responsible attorney's online portal and pay their protection money to him, with little or no involvement by the attorney. This is criminal and dishonest conduct that, I presume, is also illegal in New York.

The Pro Veritas Law, LLP website appears to disclose only one attorney, James Chung, of New York. His address is reported on the law firm website to be in Manhattan. However, he is suspended with the New York Courts and should not be doing this at all, even if he had followed all other required laws. So I am filing this complaint against Mr. Chung for criminal and fraudulent conduct, namely, wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1343 due to the interstate nature of his enterprise, and (because this is a volume-based criminal enterprise), Civil Racketeering in violation of 18 U.S.C. 364(c). In addition, he is practicing in California without a license, which is a criminal violation of California law, as well as failing to register a LLP and various other civil and ethical violations. Due to the sophisticated technical nature of this scam, plus the firehose of money that would be possible, as well as the interstate nature of the operation, I highly recommend a criminal referral to the FBI, to whom I have recently reported these crimes.

Please let me know what else you may require to consider this complaint.

Sincerely,

Andrew Watters

--

Andrew G. Watters, Esq.
Managing Partner
Watters & Jacobs, LLP
andrew@watters.law
+1 (650) 542-0057,800
<https://www.watters.law>

— Attachments: —

Letter_B_PV-2026-00378-VK69.pdf	11.4 KB
Pro-Veritas-Law.pdf	65.6 KB