

CASE #: A177318, Div: 5

IMMEDIATE TEMPORARY STAY
by August 24, 2026 (firearms relinquishment hearing - Family Code section 6389)

Case no. _____
Trial Court case no. 26FAM01592

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

ANDREW G. WATTERS,
Petitioner

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO,
Respondent,

MAHSA PARVIS,
Real Party in Interest.

Commissioner Brooke Murphy Kent
San Mateo County Superior Court
400 County Center, Dept. 32
Redwood City, CA 94063
(650) 261-5132
Dept32@sanmateocourt.org

EXHIBITS TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF SUPERSEDEAS, ETC. VOLUME 1

Andrew G. Watters
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Ste. 135
Redwood City, CA 94065
(650) 542-0057,800
andrew@watters.law
Petitioner (pro per)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Date	Description	Page
A	8/3/2026	DV-100 Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order	3
B	8/4/2026	DV-109 Notice of Court Hearing	48
C	8/4/2026	DV-110 Temporary Restraining Order	51
D	8/10/2026	FL-8 Declaration Re: Notice of Ex Parte Application for Orders	60
E	8/10/2026	DV-300 Request to Change or End Restraining Order	65
F	8/10/2026	Respondent's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Ex Parte Application to Recall and Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order	70
G	8/10/2026	Declaration of Andrew George Watters in Support of Ex Parte Application to Recall and Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order	92
H	8/11/2026	Proof of Service by Mail (ex parte application)	97
I	8/11/2026	DV-115 Request to Continue Hearing	99
J	8/12/2026	DV-116 Order on Request to Continue Court Hearing	110
K	8/12/2026	DV-310 Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order to Change of End Restraining Order	113
L	8/17/2026	Notice of Appeal	116
M	8/17/2026	Notice of Filing of Appeal	134

Request for Domestic
Violence Restraining Order

Instructions

To ask for a domestic violence restraining order, you will need to complete this form and other forms (see page 13 for list of forms). If this case includes sensitive information about a minor child (under 18 years old), see form DV-160-INFO, Privacy Protection for a Minor (Person Under 18 Years Old), for more information on how to protect the child's information.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Electronically
FILED

by Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

ON 8/3/2026 4:32 PM

By /s/ Michael Robles
Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
SAN MATEO
500 COUNTY CENTER
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number:
26-FAM-01592

1 Person Asking for Protection

a. Your name: MAHSA PARVISb. Your age: 33c. **!** Address where you can receive court papers

(This address will be used by the court and by the person in ② to send you official court dates, orders, and papers. For privacy, you may use another address like a post office box, a Safe at Home address, or another person's address, if you have their permission and can get your mail regularly. If you have a lawyer, give their information.)

Address: 950 MASON STREET #350City: SAN FRANCISCO State: CA Zip: 94108d. **!** Your contact information (optional)

(The court could use this information to contact you. If you don't want the person in ② to have this information, leave it blank or provide a safe phone number or email address. If you have a lawyer, give their information.)

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____

Email Address: _____

e. Your lawyer's information (if you have one)

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

2 Person You Want Protection From

a. Full name: ANDREW GEORGE WATTERSb. Age (give estimate if you do not know exact age): 45c. Date of birth (if known): 09/02/1980d. Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinarye. Race: WHITE

This is not a Court Order.



3 Your Relationship to the Person in ②

(If you do not have one of these relationships with the person in ②, do not complete the rest of this form. You may be eligible for another type of restraining order. Learn more at <https://selfhelp.courts.ca.gov/restraining-orders>.)

(Check all that apply)

- a. ☐ We have a child or children together (*names of children*): _____
- b. ☐ We are married or registered domestic partners.
- c. ☐ We used to be married or registered domestic partners.
- d. ☒ We are dating or used to date.
- e. ☐ We are or used to be engaged to be married.
- f. ☐ We are related. The person in ② is my (*check all that apply*):
- | | |
|---|---|
| ☐ Parent, stepparent, or parent-in-law | ☐ Brother, sister, sibling, stepsibling, or sibling in-law |
| ☐ Child, stepchild, or legally adopted child | ☐ Grandparent, step-grandparent, or grandparent-in-law |
| ☐ Child's spouse | ☐ Grandchild, step-grandchild, or grandchild-in-law |
- g. ☐ We live together or used to live together. (*If checked, answer question below*):
- Have you lived together with the person in ② as a family or household (more than just roommates)?
- ☐ Yes ☐ No (If no, you do not qualify for this kind of restraining order unless you checked one of the other relationships listed above.)

4 Other Restraining Orders and Court Cases

- a. Are there any restraining orders currently in place **or** that have expired in the last six months (examples: Did the police give you a restraining order that lasts a few days? Do you have one from the criminal court?)
- ☐ No
- ☒ Yes (*If yes, give information below and attach a copy if you have one.*)
- (1) (*date of order*): 07/06/2023 (*date it expires*): _____
- (2) (*date of order*): _____ (*date it expires*): _____
- b. Are you involved in any other court case with the person in ②?
- ☒ No
- ☐ Yes (*If you know, list where the case was filed (city, state, or tribe), the year it was filed, and case number.*)
- ☐ Custody _____
- ☐ Divorce _____
- ☐ Juvenile (*child welfare or juvenile justice*): _____
- ☐ Guardianship _____
- ☐ Criminal _____
- ☐ Other (*what kind of case?*): _____

This is not a Court Order.



Describe Abuse

In this section, explain how the person in (2) has been abusive. The judge will use this information to decide your request. Listed below are some examples of what “abuse” means under the law. **It is not a complete list** of all examples of abuse. Give information on any incident that you believe was abusive.

- made repeated unwanted contact with you
- tracked, controlled, or blocked your movements
- kept you from getting food or basic needs
- isolated you from friends, family, or other support
- made threats based on actual or suspected immigration status
- made you do something by force, threat, or intimidation
- stopped you from accessing or earning money
- tried to control/interfere with your contraception, birth control, pregnancy, or access to health information
- harassed you
- hit, kicked, pushed, or bit you
- injured you or tried to
- threatened to hurt or kill you
- sexually abused you
- abused a pet or animal
- destroyed your property
- choked or strangled you
- abused your children

5 Most Recent Abuse

- a. Date of abuse (give an estimate if you don't know the exact date): 07/30/2026
- b. Did anyone else hear or see what happened on this day?
☐ I don't know ☐ No ☒ Yes (If yes, give names): SOPHIA SOBHANI, MARYAM PARVIZ
- c. Did the person in (2) use or threaten to use a gun or other weapon?
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, describe gun or weapon): _____
- d. Did the person in (2) cause you any emotional or physical harm?
☐ No ☒ Yes (If yes, describe harm):
Emotional distress and panic as I had to discard my iPhone upon realizing he was actively tracking me
- e. Did the police come? ☐ I don't know ☒ No ☐ Yes (If the police gave you a restraining order, list it in (4).)
- f. Give more details about how the person in (2) was abusive on this day. Details can include what was said, done, or sent to you (examples: text messages, emails, or pictures), how often something happened, etc.
I received a notification at 5:18 PM on July 30, 2026, as a sound was played on my iPhone despite me not having requested this. I contacted Sophia Sobhani and my sister, both of whom are better with technological details, and was informed that Andrew Watters is logged into my iCloud and the sound played on my iPhone was an attempt to track my physical location. Due to recent and longstanding issues with Watters, I had to leave my phone and get as far from it as possible.

- g. How often has the person in (2) abused you like this?
☐ Just this once ☐ 2–5 times ☐ Weekly ☒ Other: at least 9 times since 2021
 Give dates or estimates of when it happened, if known:
May 2021; July 2021; March 2022; July 2023; August 2024; April 2025; March 2026; July 27, 2026

This is not a Court Order.

6 Has the person in 2 abused you in a different way from the abuse you described in 5?
If yes, describe below.

- a. Date of abuse (give an estimate if you don't know the exact date): 07/27/2026
- b. Did anyone else hear or see what happened on this day?
☐ I don't know ☐ No ☒ Yes (If yes, give names): Sophia Sobhani, Sam Greene, Shamsi Parviz
- c. Did the person in 2 use or threaten to use a gun or other weapon?
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, describe gun or weapon):
- d. Did the person in 2 cause you any emotional or physical harm?
☐ No ☒ Yes (If yes, describe harm):
extreme emotional distress, depression and anxiety due to having sexually explicit content in Watters' possession
surface the internet
- e. Did the police come? ☐ I don't know ☐ No ☒ Yes (If the police gave you a restraining order, list it in 4.)
- f. Give more details about how the person in 2 was abusive on this day. Details can include what was said, done, or sent to you (examples: text messages, emails, or pictures), how often something happened, etc.

Watters' professional liability insurance plan information has been requested for the past year and he keeps avoiding a response to our requests. We called him directly on Monday, July 27, 2026, and within one hour of making a firm demand that he disclose his malpractice insurance plan information so that we can proceed with claim presentment before the anti-SLAPP statute of limitations runs out on certain causes of action I intend on filing against him, a video of sexually explicit content depicting me surfaces the internet. The content was taken with Watters and he is the only individual who would have been able to access it, despite not having my consent to take or keep such content. I have been deeply distraught since then and my friends have contacted law enforcement about the leaked sexually explicit content. This, in addition to the false and defamatory content that Watters hosts on THREE SEPARATE WEBSITES, have wrecked my life. Watters created a "hall of shame" on his law firm webpage and in it smears Judge Chinhavi Cadet and falsely claims that I lack parental rights to my daughter whereas he directly got a TX VSU certification that there's no judgment in a suit affecting the parent-child relationship between my kids and I - he spread false information premised upon this to prosecutors in an attempt to have me falsely incarcerated at a time I needed him professionally as my general counsel & was helpless and isolated from my husband.

- g. How often has the person in 2 abused you like this?
☐ Just this once ☐ 2-5 times ☒ Weekly ☐ Other: ongoing since 2021
Give dates or estimates of when it happened, if known:

This is not a Court Order.



**7 Is there other abuse by the person in ② that you want the judge to know about?
If yes, describe below.**

- a. Date of abuse (*give an estimate if you don't know the exact date*): _____
- b. Did anyone else hear or see what happened on this day?
☐ I don't know ☐ No ☐ Yes (*If yes, give names*): _____
- c. Did the person in ② use or threaten to use a gun or other weapon?
☐ No ☐ Yes (*If yes, describe gun or weapon*): _____
- d. Did the person in ② cause you any emotional or physical harm?
☐ No ☐ Yes (*If yes, describe harm*): _____

- e. Did the police come? ☐ I don't know ☐ No ☐ Yes (*If the police gave you a restraining order, list it in ④.*)
- f. Give more details about how the person in ② was abusive on this day. Details can include what was said, done, or sent to you (examples: text messages, emails, or pictures), how often something happened, etc.

- g. How often has the person in ② abused you like this?
☐ Just this once ☐ 2–5 times ☐ Weekly ☐ Other: _____
Give dates or estimates of when it happened, if known:

- ☐ **Check this box if you need more space to describe the abuse.** You can use form DV-101, *Description of Abuse*, and turn it in with this form. You can also use a separate sheet of paper, write “Describe Abuse” abuse at the top, and turn it in with this form.

This is not a Court Order.



8 Other Protected People

Do you want the restraining order to protect your children, family, or someone you live with?

- a. ☐ No
- b. ☒ Yes (If yes, complete the section below):

(1)	Full name	Age	Relationship to you	Lives with you?
	Shamsi Parviz	61	MOTHER	☐ Yes ☒ No
	Bahman Parviz	65	FATHER	☐ Yes ☒ No
	Clara Parviz	8	DAUGHTER	☐ Yes ☒ No
	Blake Maso	39	HUSBAND	☐ Yes ☒ No

- ☐ Check this box if you need to list more people. Use a separate piece of paper and write “DV-100, Other Protected People” at the top. Turn it in with this form.

- (2) Why do these people need protection?

Watters has several guns and constantly carries a pocket knife. I have personally seen his Smith & Wesson revolver and a pocket knife. He was diagnosed bipolar at age 26 years and takes Risperdol but does not tell his psychiatrist at Kaiser Permanente about his alcohol abuse (drinks daily) and other illicit substance abuse (cocaine and GHB). He has reached out to all of my immediate family and when he does not get the response he wants he writes disparaging material on the internet about them. My whole family fears for their lives because Watters is extremely unstable and is an attorney who can manipulate others easily.

9 Does person in ② have firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition?

(A firearm includes a handgun, rifle, shotgun, and assault weapon. A firearm part means a receiver or frame or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame. Ammunition includes bullets, shells, cartridges, and clips.)

- a. ☐ I don't know
- b. ☐ No
- c. ☒ Yes (If you have information, complete the section below.)

	Describe Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition	Number or Amount	Location, if known
(1)	Smith & Wesson revolver	1	in his apartment
(2)			
(3)			
(4)			
(5)			
(6)			

This is not a Court Order.



Choose the Orders That You Want a Judge to Make

In this section, you will choose the orders you want a judge to make now. Every situation is different.
Choose the orders that fit your situation.

Check all the orders that you want a judge to make (order).

10 ☒ Order to Not Abuse

I ask the judge to order the person in (2) to not do the following things to me or anyone listed in (8):

Harass, attack, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, stalk, molest, destroy personal property, keep under surveillance, impersonate (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), block movements, annoy by phone or other electronic means (including repeatedly contact), or disturb the peace. (For more information on what "disturbing the peace" means, read form DV-500-INFO, *Can A Domestic Violence Restraining Order Help Me?*)

11 ☒ No-Contact Order

I ask the judge to order the person in (2) to not contact me or anyone listed in (8).

12 ☒ Stay-Away Order

a. I ask the judge to order the person in (2) to stay away from (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☒ Me. | ☒ My school. |
| ☒ My home. | ☒ Each person in (8). |
| ☒ My job or workplace. | ☒ My children's school or childcare. |
| ☒ My vehicle. | ☒ Other (please explain): <u>Fairmont Hotel 950 Mason Street in San Francisco</u> |

b. How far do you want the person to stay away from all the places you checked above?

- ☒ 100 yards (300 feet) ☐ Other (give distance in yards): _____

c. Do you and the person in (2) live together or live close to each other?

- ☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, check one):
- ☐ Live together (If you live together, you can ask that the person in (2) move out in (13).)
 - ☐ Live in the same building, but not in the same home
 - ☐ Live in the same neighborhood
 - ☐ Other (please explain): _____

d. Do you and the person in (2) have the same workplace or go to the same school?

- ☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, check all that apply):
- ☐ Work together at (name of company): _____
 - ☐ Go to the same school (name of school): _____
 - ☐ Other (please explain): _____

This is not a Court Order.



13 ☐ **Order to Move Out**

a. I ask the judge to order the person in **(2)** to move out of the home, located at:

(Give address): _____

b. I have a right to live at this address because:

(Check all that apply)

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ I own the home. | ☐ I have lived at this address for _____ years, _____ months. |
| ☐ My name is on the lease. | ☐ I pay for some or all the rent or mortgage. |
| ☐ I live at this address with my child(ren). | ☐ Other (please explain): _____ |

14 ☒ **Other Orders**

(Describe any additional orders you want the judge to make to keep you, your children, or the people in **(8)** safe):

Remove www.andrewwatters.com/hall-of-shame and any other websites wherein Mahsa Parviz or her likeness is depicted whether or not the website is managed by Andrew Watters directly or indirectly, recipients of this order shall forthwith remove the offending content.

15 ☐ **Child Custody and Visitation**

(Check this box if you have a child with the person in **(2)** and want the judge to make or change a child custody or visitation order. **You must fill out form DV-105, Request for Child Custody and Visitation Orders, and attach it to this form.**)

Orders that you can request on form DV-105 include:

- | | |
|---|--|
| • Child custody | • No visits with your children |
| • Stop person in (2) from accessing your child's school or medical information | • Virtual visits with your children |
| | • Supervised (monitored) visits with your children |
| | • Unsupervised (unmonitored) visits with your children |

This is not a Court Order.



16 ☐ **Protect Animals**

a. (You may ask the court to protect your animals, your children's animals, or the person in ②'s animals.)

Name (or other way to ID animal)	Type of animal	Breed (if known)	Color
(1) _____	_____	_____	_____
(2) _____	_____	_____	_____
(3) _____	_____	_____	_____
(4) _____	_____	_____	_____

b. I ask the judge to protect the animals listed above by ordering the person in ② to:

(Check all that apply)

(1) ☐ Stay away from the animals by at least: ☐ 100 yards (300 feet) ☐ Other (number of yards): _____

(2) ☐ Not take, sell, hide, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, get rid of, transfer, or borrow against the animals.

(3) ☐ Give me sole possession, care, and control of the animals because (check all that apply):

☐ Person in ② abuses the animals.

☐ I take care of these animals.

☐ I purchased these animals.

☐ Other (please explain): _____

17 ☐ **Control of Property**

a. I ask the judge to give **only me** temporary use, possession, and control of the property listed here (describe):

b. Explain why you want control of the property you listed:

18 ☒ **Health and Other Insurance**

I ask the judge to order the person in ② to **not** make any changes to any insurance or other coverage for me, the person in ②, or our children, including not being allowed to cancel, cash, borrow against, transfer, dispose of, or change the beneficiaries for the insurance.

19 ☒ **Record Communications**

I ask the judge to allow me to record calls or communications the person in ② makes to me, when those calls or communications violate this restraining order.

This is not a Court Order.



20 ☒ **Property Restraint** (only if you are married or a registered domestic partner with the person in ②.)

I ask the judge to order the person in ② **not** to borrow against, sell, hide, or get rid of or destroy any possessions or property, except in the usual course of business or for necessities of life. I also ask the judge to order the person in ② to notify me of any new or big expenses and to explain them to the court.

21 ☐ **Extend my deadline to give notice to person in ②**

(Usually, the judge will give you about two weeks to give notice, or to “serve” the person in ② of your request. If you need more time to serve, the judge may be able to give you a few extra days.)

I ask the judge to give me more time to serve the person in ② because *(explain why you need more time)*:

22 ☐ **Pay Debts (Bills) Owed for Property**

(If you want the person in ② to pay any debts owed for property, list them and explain why. The amount can be for the entire bill or only a portion. Some examples include rent, mortgage, car payment, etc.)

a. I ask the judge to order the person in ② to make these payments while the restraining order is in effect:

(1) Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____
(2) Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____
(3) Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____

Explain why you want the person in ② to pay the debts listed above:

b. **Special decision (finding) by the judge if you did not agree to the debt** (optional)

(If you did not agree to the debt or debts listed above, you can ask the judge to decide (find) that one or more debts was made without your permission and resulted from the person in ②'s abuse. This may help you defend against the debt if you are sued in another case.)

Do you want the judge to make this special decision (finding)?

☐ No ☐ Yes *(If yes, answer the questions below.)*

(1) Which of the debts listed above resulted from the abuse? *(check all that apply)*:

☐ a(1) ☐ a(2) ☐ a(3)

(2) Do you know how the person in ② made the debt or debts?

☐ No ☐ Yes

(If yes, explain how the person in ② made the debt or debts):

This is not a Court Order.



Orders That You Want a Judge to Make at Your Court Date

Below is a list of orders that a judge cannot make right away but can make at your court date in a few weeks. The person in (2) must be notified of your court date before the judge can consider making any of the orders listed below. Check all the orders that you want the judge to make at your court date.

(23) ☒ Pay Expenses Caused by the Abuse

I ask the judge to order the person in (2) to pay for things **caused directly** by the person in (2) (damaged property, medical care, counseling, temporary housing, etc.). Bring proof of these amounts to your court date.

Pay to: <u>TBD</u>	For: <u>TBD</u>	Amount: \$ <u> </u>
Pay to: <u> </u>	For: <u> </u>	Amount: \$ <u> </u>
Pay to: <u> </u>	For: <u> </u>	Amount: \$ <u> </u>
Pay to: <u> </u>	For: <u> </u>	Amount: \$ <u> </u>

(24) ☐ Child Support *(this applies only if you have a minor child with the person in (2))*

(Check all that apply)

- a. ☐ I do not have a child support order and I want one.
- b. ☐ I have a child support order and I want it changed *(attach a copy if you have one)*.
- c. ☐ I now receive or have applied for TANF, Welfare, or CalWORKS.

(25) ☐ Spousal Support

(You must be married or a registered domestic partner with person in (2).)

I ask the judge to order the person in (2) to give me financial assistance.

(26) ☒ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

I ask that the person in (2) pay for some or all of my lawyer's fees and costs. (If you ask for fees and costs and the court grants your restraining order, the court must award you fees and costs if the respondent can afford to pay.)

This is not a Court Order.



27 ☒ Batterer Intervention Program

I ask the judge to order the person listed in (2) to go to a 52-week batterer intervention program. (The goal of this program is to stop abuse. There are weekly classes on accountability, abuse effects, and gender roles. If ordered, the person in (2) has to show the judge that they enrolled and completed the program.)

28 ☐ Transfer of Wireless Phone Account

(If the person in (2) holds the rights to your cell phone account, you can ask the judge to transfer your number or your child's number to you. This means you will be financially responsible for these accounts. If you want to have control over a mobile device, like a cell phone, make this request at (17).)

I ask the judge to order the wireless service provider to transfer the billing responsibility and rights to the wireless phone numbers listed below to me because the account currently belongs to the person in (2):

- a. ☐ My number ☐ Number of child in my care (including area code): _____
- b. ☐ My number ☐ Number of child in my care (including area code): _____
- c. ☐ My number ☐ Number of child in my care (including area code): _____
- d. ☐ My number ☐ Number of child in my care (including area code): _____

Automatic Orders if the Judge Grants Restraining Order

In this section are orders that the person in (2) would have to follow if the judge grants a restraining order.

29 ☐ No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

- Cannot own, possess, or buy firearms (guns), firearm parts, and ammunition.
- Must turn in, sell, or store any firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition that they have or control.

30 ☐ No Body Armor

- Cannot own, possess, or buy body armor.
- Must relinquish any body armor in their possession.

31 ☐ Cannot Look for Protected People

Cannot look for the address or location of any person protected by the restraining order, unless the court finds good cause not to make this order.

This is not a Court Order.



32 Additional Pages

If you used additional paper or forms, enter the number of extra pages attached to this form: _____


33 Your Signature

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above is true and correct.

Date: 07/31/2026

MAHSA PARVIZ

Type or print your name



C:\Signet\2 Aug 1, 2026 12:10:45 UTC

Sign your name

34 Your Lawyer's Signature (if you have one)

Date: _____

Lawyer's name



Lawyer's signature

Your Next Steps**1 You must complete at least three additional forms:**

- Form DV-110, *Temporary Restraining Order (only items 1, 2 and 3)*
- Form DV-109, *Notice of Court Hearing (only items 1 and 2)*
- Form CLETS-001, *Confidential Information for Law Enforcement*
- If you are asking for child custody and visitation orders, you must complete form DV-105, *Request for Child Custody and Visitation Orders*, and form DV-140, *Child Custody and Visitation Order*.

2 Turn in your completed forms to the court. Find out when your forms will be ready for you.**3 Once you get your forms back from the court, have someone "serve" a copy of all forms on the person in (2). The sheriff or marshal can do this for free. See form SER-001, *Request for Sheriff to Serve Court Papers*. Learn more about service at <https://selfhelp.courts.ca.gov/sheriff-serves-your-request-restraining-order>****4 If you are asking for child support or spousal support you must also complete form FL-150, *Income and Expense Declaration*. If you are only asking for child support, you may be eligible to fill out a simpler form, FL-155. Read form DV-570 to see if you are eligible. Turn in your completed form to the court before your court date. You must also have someone mail or personally deliver a copy to the person in (2).**

This is not a Court Order.



A sound was played on M. Inbox



Find My 5:18 PM
to me ▾



A sound was played on
M.

A sound was played on M at 5:18 PM PDT
on July 30, 2026.

Use the Find My app on an iPhone, iPad, or
Mac or visit icloud.com/find to take
additional action.



iCloud is a service provided by Apple.
[Apple Account](#) | [Support](#) | [Terms and Conditions](#) |
[Privacy Policy](#)

↩ Reply

➦ Forward





TEXAS
Health and Human
Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

John Hellerstedt, M.D.
Commissioner

May 25, 2021

ANDREW G. WATTERS, ESQ.
118 SOUTH BLVD.
SAN MATEO, CA 94402

Dear Requestor:

Thank you for contacting the Vital Statistics Section. Enclosed you will find the results of the CCJ Registry inquiry/inquiries that you recently submitted to our office.

If you should need further assistance from our office, please feel free to contact the CCJ Registry at 512-776-3875.

Sincerely,

Zuriashwork Wollie on behalf of Darwa McFarland
Processing Specialist
Vital Statistics Section
Fax Number: 512-776-7164
Email: VSSRegistration@dshs.texas.gov



TEXAS
Health and Human
Services

Texas Department of State Health Services

John Hellerstedt, M.D.
Commissioner

May 25, 2021

ANDREW G. WATTERS, ESQ.
118 SOUTH BLVD.
SAN MATEO, CA 94402

Name: CLARA MAHSA PARVIZ
Birthdate: 11/01/2017
Place of Birth: MCKINNEY, TEXAS

Pursuant to Section 155, Texas Family Code, you are advised that according to the Central Record File, the above individual has not been the subject of a suit affecting the parent-child relationship in which a judgment was entered on or after January 1, 1974.

I, Zuriashwork Wollie, Texas Vital Statistics, do hereby certify that this is a true and correct statement of information contained in the Central Record File on this 25th day of May, 2021.

Zuriashwork Wollie on behalf of Darwa McFarland
Processing Specialist
Vital Statistics Section



TEXAS
Health and Human
Services

Texas Department of State
Health Services

P.O. Box 145347 • Austin, Texas 78714-9347

Mail Code

ANDREW G. WATERS, ESQ.
118 SOUTH BLVD.
SAN MATEO, CA 94402

Official State Mail
Penalty for Private Use
Return Service Requested

NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES
\$ 000.42
MAY 27 2021

33 CHINA B 94402



DECLARATION OF SHAMSI PARVIZ

I, SHAMSI PARVIZ, DECLARE:

1. "My name is Mrs. Shamsi Parviz. I am over 18 years of age, am fully competent to make this Declaration and have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein. To my knowledge, all of the facts herein are true and correct."

2. "I am Petitioner's mother and am an Additional Protected Person in this matter. I make this Declaration in support of Dr. Mahsa Parviz's request for a temporary and permanent domestic violence restraining order against Respondent Andrew George Watters. I am willing and able to testify as to the facts stated herein and those of which I have personal knowledge within the Declaration of Sophia Sobhani and application for DVRO."

3. "Mr. Watters briefly represented my daughter's legal interests as stated in the Declaration of Sophia Sobhani and the DVRO application. He appears to be obsessed with my daughter, who has never been even remotely interested in this man. I have personally spoken with law enforcement regarding several incidents, starting as early as the May 2021 incident with Mr. Watters, as described in the DVRO application. Unfortunately, Mr. Watters' stalking and harassment has yet to cease for over five years now, despite him having sued my daughter in the federal courts twice and lost both times."

4. "A cease and desist letter was prepared by attorney Dan Near and similar content was removed from the internet but Andrew Watters stubbornly maintains his website and has even lied to prosecutors about my granddaughter in order to have my daughter falsely incarcerated. In October 9, 2021, I was copied on an email of a cease and desist letter to Mr. Watters. Mr. Watters has since been directed to stop contacting my daughter, me, and my daughter's attorneys, but he continues doing so and harasses us constantly. He was most recently directed to provide his professional liability insurance policy information so our family can proceed with the claim presentment process with his malpractice insurance carrier and he posted explicit content on the internet within an hour of being contacted on Monday, July 27, 2026."

5. "In February or March of 2022, Mr. Watters created an outrageously inappropriate website about my daughter and our family, and spread false and defamatory statements to the entire public. He now manages three of these websites and updates them daily against our wishes. His stalking has become so pervasive that law enforcement has directed us to apply for a domestic violence restraining order so that Mr. Watters will be arrested if he does not stop engaging in the abusive conduct described in the Declaration of Sophia Sobhani and within the DVRO application."

6. "In his website, Mr. Watters makes false and defamatory claims about conversations he purportedly had with me and makes false statements about our family's long-time attorney, James Samuel Bell. He also claims to have sent me and my husband a disgusting letter on behalf of all of my daughter's friends in Boston, which we have never received. Mr. Watters' allegations are entirely fictitious and made to harass our family, who has been publicly ridiculed as a result of Mr. Watters' sexually abusive website

about my daughter. My daughter has lost financing for her chain of compounding pharmacies as a direct result of Watters' harassment and dissemination of false, defamatory content on his law firm website."

7. "My husband and I have been college math professors for over 40 years. Students frequently conduct online Google searches when deciding to take our classes. Mr. Watters' website is now the first link that results from a Google search of my and my husband's name. As a result, our teaching assignments have been reduced and we have incurred financial losses. My husband was so shocked when one of his college students brought up my daughter's alleged "alcohol-induced abortion when underage" that he required hospitalization and additional treatment. This allegation, though entirely false, is simply not the type of matter that prudent people are willing to tolerate."

8. "Respondent Watters, in his capacity as a duly licensed attorney in the State of California, has committed fraud on the Court and communicated false information and other damaging content to my daughter's other attorneys, prosecutors and psychiatrists in criminal and family law proceedings, including Cause Nos. 2:21-cr-00293-SB (DMGx), D-1-DC-21-900031, D-1-DC-21-900032, D-1-DC-19-900037, 469-30012-2018, and others. Respondent Watters, by and through this conduct, has worked a manifest injustice into the legal proceedings above, tainting them and causing doubt as to their outcomes. As an attorney and officer of the Court, he knows or should know that he cannot present frivolous claims to the court for an improper purpose but did so to the Western District of Washington U.S. District Court in Cause No. 2:23-cv-00755-RSL and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, wherein he lost both actions against my daughter and stubbornly refused to comply with the federal Court's orders."

9. "Mr. Watters also contends that our granddaughter is in foster care because my daughter's parental rights were terminated and no such thing is true. He has jeopardized our granddaughter's safety and emotional well-being by hosting this horrendous website on <https://www.andrewwatters.com>. Mr. Watters has been directed to remove this website, and to stop harassing and stalking our family, to no avail. His abuse has reached its zenith since a July 2023 incident of unauthorized access to my daughter's Gmail account and July 2026 incident of unauthorized access to my daughter's iCloud account and location services. We are afraid he will distribute additional confidential material in retaliation and pray a domestic violence restraining order will issue to prevent him from abusing our family any longer. Just because he is an attorney doesn't mean he can manipulate the law and wield it as a weapon against innocent people who want nothing to do with him."

10. "I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct."

Executed in Frisco, Texas, on July 31, 2026, by Shamsi Parviz.



SHAMSI PARVIZ

United States District Court
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ANDREW G. WATTERS,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAHSA PARVIZ,

Defendant.

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: 2:23-cv-00755-RSL

— **Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

X **Decision by Court.** This action came to consideration before the Court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

THE COURT HAS ORDERED THAT:

Judgment is entered in favor of Defendant Mahsa Parviz, against Plaintiff Andrew G. Watters.

DATED this 16th day of August, 2023.

RAVI SUBRAMANIAN,
Clerk of the Court

By: /s/ Victoria Ericksen
Deputy Clerk

ANDREW WATTERS

MENU ≡

THE HONORABLE CHINHAYI CADET

A RECKLESS, UNQUALIFIED, AND INCOMPETENT FAMILY LAW JUDGE WHO HARBORS
SUBSTANTIAL PREJUDICE AND HAS AN EVIL HEART.



Opinions on my Hall of Shame are my own personal opinions and do not necessarily reflect the views of anyone else, such as folks at the firm. The fact that I have this Hall of Shame page on my personal website should also not be taken as an indication as to whether or not the firm does, or does not, share my views on Judge Cadet.

March 28, 2024

by Andrew G. Watters, Esq.

ANDREW WATTERS

MENU ≡

Update March 30, 2026: We filed the opening brief in the appeal today. [Here it is](#), along with the appendix. It turned out great.

Update February 17, 2026: Everyone in the legal community was hoping that Judge Cadet would be reassigned in 2026. This did not happen. She remains in family law where her outrageous, illegal decisions deprive litigants of their civil rights and simply ruin lives. Incidentally, the Cole/Little trial transcript took *one full year* to be prepared, even including an Order to Show Cause filed by the appellant on the court reporter, which means the reporter and/or the judge intentionally prevented me from showing the following in January 2025 by Judge Cadet in order to suppress the truth:

Mr. Cole credibly testified that Ms. Little disturbed his peace when she fled the state with their infant son depriving Mr. Cole of contact with his infant son for approximately four months. His testimony established that being away from his infant son for four months, not knowing where his son was, being deprived of precious bonding time with his infant son, all disturbed his peace and destroyed his mental or emotional calm. He credibly testified that he had heart palpitations during the difficult period that he was separated from his infant son and that law enforcement intervention was needed to return his son to his custody. Exhibit 35 establishes that Ms. Little communicated to a member of Mr. Cole's family that she had moved to Washington and that we will never come back to California. That will certainly destroy Mr. Cole's mental or emotional calm. Mr. Cole credibly testified that on August 6, 2024, Ms. Little physically and emotionally abused him by snatching a bottle from Giannis, from Giannis's mouth while Mr. Cole was feeding the infant child and by pushing and shoving Mr. Cole. The evidence at trial established that Ms. Little violated the Temporary Restraining Order and continued to harass Mr. Cole and to disturb his peace and to destroy his mental or emotional calm. What the evidence includes, but is not limited to, Exhibit 51 which shows harassing text messages from Ms. Little to Mr. Cole in violation of a Temporary Restraining Order on May 3rd, May 15 and May 16 of 2024. Exhibit 52 shows harassing text messages from Ms. Little to Mr. Cole in violation of the Temporary Restraining Order on May 28, 2024. Exhibit 58 shows harassing text messages from Ms. Little to Mr. Cole in violation of the Temporary Restraining Order on January 1, 2025, including statements such as, quote, you're the most disgusting person I know, end quote. Quote, your mom was raised by your family. And it goes on, Y'all did nothing. Why did I expect you to do anything for your seed? Quote, you're a fuckin', you're a whole fuckin' joke, end quote. Exhibit 84 shows harassing text messages from Ms. Little to Mr. Cole in violation of the Temporary Restraining Order on January 14 and January 15, 2025. The purpose of the Domestic Violence Restraining Act is to prevent domestic violence. See Family Code 6220. The Court is not required to find a likelihood of future abuse to grant or to renew a Domestic Violence Restraining Order. See *Perez v. Torres-Hernandez*, 1 Cal.App.5th 389 from the year 2016. Even if the likelihood of future abuse was needed, Mr. Cole has established a likelihood of future abuse in this case, especially given the seriousness of Ms. Little's conduct and her repeated violations of the TRO. Mr. Cole credibly testified that he fears future abuse from Ms. Little, especially given that he needs to communicate with her in order to co-parent. The Court does not have to reach the issue of litigation privilege or litigation conduct or any conduct that might be protected by litigation privilege. Mr. Cole has proven past abuse, disturbance of his peace by a preponderance of the evidence and the Court grants a

Now it's my turn-- Judge Cadet, "you are a whole fucking joke," both for misrepresenting the evidence and for being an incompetent judge. Ms. Little's home state is Washington. There were no proceedings at the time she moved back to Washington, which she could not have disturbed Mr. Cole's peace when the Family Code authorizes a parent to change the child's residence. As for the Parents messages, Mr. Cole repeatedly goaded Ms. Little on Talking Parents and was a willing participant in all of those conversations. He is now (early 2026) homeless and broke after being kicked out of his mom's house, which means G. is at risk of harm because his dad who has sole custody cannot provide housing. I knew that would happen but you couldn't see it because you're blinded by your contempt for my client. Seriously, get off the bench before you cause someone to commit suicide because of your ridiculous decisions.

Update July 31, 2025: I was just told that Judge Cadet has recused herself from the Cole/Little cases "in the interests of justice." Ridiculous! This has recently happened in several other cases with other litigants, and I believe Judge Cadet is soon to be reassigned to a different division of the court that is more suited for her evil heart.

Quotes from other attorneys:

1. "Judge Cadet makes unjust, horrible decisions based solely on her antipathy and hatred[.]"
2. "The number of people's lives she is ruining is not acceptable."
3. "My client is a professional Black man and had the same exact experience with Judge Cadet."
4. "The family law section needs to do something about this trainwreck."
5. "Heard through the grapevine you shared a similar experience with Dept. 5. My client filed a judicial complaint. If there is a need from me to help make a change, please let me know."
6. "I can only think of 1 or 2 judges over the past 35 years that have been as horrendous."
7. "I was there all morning listening to the cases before my client's, which was last. She was totally unnecessarily combative with every attorney, made legally wrong decisions, and was also completely lacking in normal judicial temperament, and judgment."
8. "She comes absolutely unprepared and lacks basic knowledge in Civil Law and Family Law, and her decisions are driven by hatred and gender bias instead of the rule of law."
9. "I cannot wait for Judge Space Cadet to leave the Family Law bench. That day cannot come soon enough, although I do pity the people in the next court she is assigned to."
10. "I am seriously considering having a protest outside the Hall of Justice demanding she resign. Maybe march the halls inside the hall, etc. Try to get some press coverage. I might be willing to get arrested in order to attract media attention."

Quotes from litigants:

1. "Her pattern and practice is to drain people's resolve by steamrolling them with multiple hearings and trials on the same day because they are in pro per. She makes up her own rules, ignores the law, and fits the evidence into her own narrative to help the party that she likes. Why does she protect domestic abusers?"
2. "She hates all women that are educated, successful professionals who stand up for their legal rights, and the rights of their children."

ANDREW WATTERS

MENU ≡

4. "It seemed the judge just didn't care to understand what was going on and wanted to be done with the case as soon as possible."

Note: rather than embarrassing Judge Cadet, the purpose of this page is to protect the public, as there is no other writeup on the internet about this vindictive, malicious, and extremely dangerous judge who does not belong on the bench. I will prove each and every one of my opinions here while being as even-handed as possible.

Here is her [LinkedIn](#). Hard to believe a Stanford and Georgetown grad who spent 20 years as an Assistant U.S. Attorney is this level of person. I honestly tried to keep an open mind over the last three months, however, the transcripts of my appearances speak for themselves, which show a course of conduct in violation of 18 U.S.C. sec. 1983 prohibiting violations of civil rights under color of law. I wait for the March 26, 2024 transcript, and you'll see that I've now had three separate hearings with Judge Cadet over three months where she destroyed my client for no apparent reason other than prejudice, made numerous legally wrong decisions, and abused her power. I've had enough of this. My client has no remedies except in the court of public opinion, so here you go as a start:

January 2, 2024 - [Cole/Little](#)

January 22, 2024 - [Cole/Little](#)

March 26, 2024 - [Cole/Little](#) - insane!

Transcripts are not normally publishable, however, the entirety of these transcripts are essential to understand my commentary relevant to showing that Judge Cadet is a horrible person whom everyone should peremptorily challenge under CCP sec. 170.0 claiming fair use and freedom of speech, consistent with [Rule 8.2\(a\)](#) of the Rules of Professional Conduct and Civil Code sec. 47 concerning true reporting of proceedings.

Background

I have four cases with Judge Cadet at the moment. The worst and longest-running of these is the above-indicated Cole/Little matter. The other one I settled due to the risk of going to trial with an erratic, malicious judge; another one resulted in a temporary order in my client's favor, principally because Judge Cadet believed the *other* party was crazy, and the remaining one is just starting and I do not manage to get my peremptory challenge in on time.

Brittany Little

I am posting this writeup with my client's permission, though we may have slightly different opinions. In summary, my client is a successful professional woman, who until recently was a Nursing Supervisor at a major, prestigious hospital chain (she resigned due to the unbearable stress of this litigation). My client, who is Black, did nothing to prompt Judge Cadet's malicious treatment of her.

ANDREW WATTERS

MENU ≡

sad truth is that Judge Cadet has an extremely high opinion of herself and, as an *elite* Black person, looks down on my client, who is merely a *professional* Black woman. Regardless of the reasons for her bizarre decisions, Judge Cadet has driven my client to a near-suicide over the last several months. Part of this is the denial of visitation with her now one year-old son, whom my client has not seen since December 2023 despite *the parties agreeing (denied by Judge Cadet!) that my client could have up to three days per week of visitation*. Additionally, Judge Cadet *ordered the civil forfeiture of my client's property*, specifically the baby-related furniture that my client purchased without the other party's involvement or contribution, and that my client wants to keep because these are the last things that remind her of her son. To order that the other party takes furniture he does not own that reminds my client of her son is a civil forfeiture or penalty that is against the law.

This matter began in August 2023 when I was hired to file a Domestic Violence petition against my client's ex, who is unemployed and lives with his parents following the end of the parties' relationship. And when the other party managed to beat us to court and file his own DV petition, I was hired to defend that one. The issue is that Mr. Cole managed to file his DV petition first, thereby gaining the tactical advantage of a T.R.O., although it was never served on my client. My client splits her time between her home state of Washington and California, and she was in Washington at the time of the DV petition filed by Mr. Cole, and therefore beyond the California court's jurisdiction. She had planned to stay in Washington, but ultimately the California court issued orders under UCCJEA for the minor to return to California, which occurred in December 2023. This side issue is the subject of a ridiculous claim by the District Attorney that my client is guilty of felony child custody deprivation, which was ultimately granted misdemeanor diversion by agreement, and was dismissed upon the conditions agreed on by my client. That is a separate issue; the main problems with Judge Cadet are that she (1) makes illegal orders that exceed her jurisdiction, (2) demonstrates severe prejudice against my client, (3) acts either intentionally or extremely recklessly in exhibiting malice against litigants. Please read the transcripts and court papers, and judge this judge for yourself. I am calling upon the attorney community to peremptorily challenge Judge Cadet under 170.6 in all cases until the local court grants my message and she is removed from family law.

Latest updates

The "under construction" page that preceded this writeup received more views than the rest of the Hall of Shame combined. I got occasional calls and emails from other attorneys about Judge Cadet, most of whom are shocked that this person is a judge. I have a lot to say, but this is a start.

Update June 14, 2024: A lot has occurred in the last ten days since I published this. A number of attorneys and litigants have come forward with their own horror stories, and I'm putting together a comprehensive update here that will incorporate their comments and experiences.

Update August 7, 2024: I've got a big update coming with an additional group of attorneys that reached out to me and that plan to launch a coordinated effort directed at removing Judge Cadet from the bench.

Update September 30, 2024: By now, I've gotten at least twenty calls and emails from area attorneys, as well as some litigants. The quotes are directly from other attorneys, some of whom have called me a hero for doing this. The web page is going to be a centerpiece.

ANDREW WATTERS

MENU ≡

Update October 11, 2024: I decided to start putting quotes from litigants on here due to the large number of people who are calling me and saying they have a lot to say.

Update November 17, 2024: By chance, I ran into Ms. Hartman in court. She had called me a while back, and now she's provided written materials that show a similar scenario, but primarily financial. Wow, take a look at [Ms. Hartman's complaint](#) to the presiding judge! No joke, that is horrible, just like the civil forfeiture ordered in my client's case.

Update January 14, 2025: We concluded trial day one in Cole v. Little. I will do a full writeup once there is a decision, which may not be today.

Update February 7, 2025: We finished the trial, and we lost. Coincidentally, I heard from another litigant who had an even worse experience with Judge Cadet than my client. His story is shocking and unbelievable. Big update will be happening in the near future. I will share my thoughts about the trial and resulting decision, and this other guy's case, as well.

Update March 14, 2025: I haven't had time to update this, but I will add an important development: I was recently forced to resign as the section chair of the Intellectual Property and Technology section of the local bar association because of this page. They knew that the I.P./Technology Section was something I really wanted to do and that I had big plans for, and they pressured me to remove Judge Cadet's page because it allegedly reflects poorly on the bar association to have a section leader expressing these concerns about a judge's area. Here is my email to the association leadership in response:

Dear Deborah and Alma,

Thank you very much for stopping by this morning to discuss the future of the SMCBA I.P./Technology section in the context of my online Hall of Shame, which features a local judge and several attorneys.

I should note that I also have a Hall of Fame on my website, which features several SMCBA members along with their deserving praise. The intention is to be even-handed, but it seems like I have not succeeded in that effort when I'm being asked to reconsider part of the Hall of Shame because it reflects poorly on the Bar Association.

I have carefully thought about the options here in terms of the way forward, and I'm not seeing any other options besides (1) resign from my leadership role, or (2) remove Judge Cadet's Hall of Shame page, because that page is obviously the offending page.

My bottom line is that I do not censor my 100% fair and 100% accurate online opinions for any reason, especially when I feel like the information should be public because it is important for litigants to know. I continue to receive numerous calls and emails supporting the Hall of Shame each month-- not just about Judge Cadet, but about others who appear there. The page about Judge Cadet has become a repository

ANDREW WATTERS

Unfortunately, I cannot accommodate your request to remove any portion of the Hall of Shame, and I'm willing to give up my section chair role to prove that I care more about the principle of free speech than the many professional or networking benefits that might accrue from continuing the role. I also don't want to set a precedent that I'm willing to edit my Hall of Shame on my personal website under the auspices of it reflecting poorly on the Bar Association.

Accordingly, I am tendering my resignation as section chair unless there is a different solution that I would prefer. The upcoming event can be canceled considering there are no attendees.

Best,

Andrew Watters

They admitted that they have heard the exact same things from other association members about Judge Cadet. What a joke that the section leader's personal website can be censored at the whims of the association board. I could have done great things with the Technology section, and it was something I really wanted to do-- and they knew that. In all fairness, it was a pleasant conversation with Deborah and Alma, but the bottom line is that they and/or the bar association don't want or can't handle the truth. Sad.

Update November 17, 2025: I continue to hear from lawyers and litigants in the community about the horrible things they have experienced in Judge Cadet's court. Why is she still on the bench and in family law? I ask myself that occasionally, and I don't have any good answers. It is going to take someone running against her for her seat in the next judicial election to resolve this once and for all. It won't be long. I hope someone does it.

Vincent Morello - *In re Marriage of Morello* - hired May 2026

This is another case of horrible injustice in Judge Cadet's court. Mr. Morello petitioned for divorce in February 2018.

The last time the parties were in front of Judge Cadet was in 2025, when Ms. Morello petitioned to have Mr. Morello reimburse her for legal fees that she had nearly already paid--about \$171,000. About \$110,000 of that was off the table because the parties had stipulated orders up to 2021 that said they would pay their own legal fees. From 2021 to mid-2023, Ms. Morello incurred \$48,000 in total legal fees. This is important because in mid-2023, the parties signed their last significant stipulated order that included a fee waiver. So, that would mean Mr. Morello would only have to pay Ms. Morello the remaining \$13,000 in legal fees she incurred from 2023 to when they appeared in front of Judge Cadet in mid-2025 on this matter. But Judge Cadet had already made up her mind before the hearing and ordered Mr. Morello to pay everything from 2021 forward. Judge Cadet gave no reason and discarded everything Mr. Morello's counsel's written submission and what Mr. Morello's counsel said at the hearing. Mr. Morello could have appealed Judge Cadet's decision on the fees with high success, but that would have required a \$50,000 retainer for an appellate lawyer, Ms. Morello's legal fees, and

ANDREW WATTERS

MENU ≡

Fast forward to 2026. Ms. Morello recently filed a motion set for a hearing that's scheduled on July 6th. It includes a modification of support, request for spousal support, \$110,000 in legal fees and "other." She has been contacting counsel on a daily basis and has been using her responses using A.I. even though we have explained to her that the parties signed away spousal support in early 2020 when stipulated on assets, support and custody. In addition, Ms. Morello invoked the DCSS in 2023, so any changes to child support or anything that happen with the DCSS. The "other" items Ms. Morello included in her recent filing are minor and are based on inaccuracies by Ms. Morello that I intend to challenge with the facts. But knowing Judge Cadet, she will likely give Ms. Morello whatever she asks for.

It is so insane that this poor guy is being taken advantage of thanks to Judge Cadet. The likely outcome here is yet more money for my client even though Ms. Morello has been representing herself for years, and with the help of the DCSS. Reason being, since the 2023 order, there hasn't been anything to discuss other than any possible changes to child support, which the DCSS reviews every 6 months. And, there was no declaration from an attorney stating that they would need any attorney fees prepaid to represent Ms. Morello. Consider this: Ms. Morello spent \$171,000 on legal fees from 2018 to 2025. Now, she wants Judge Cadet to force Mr. Morello to pay \$110,000 in undocumented legal fees that, at best, would require a simple, 20-minute hearing. What lawyer needs \$110,000 for a 20-minute hearing is going to take a substantial amount of effort and money, plus multiple trips to the Court of Appeal if Judge Cadet doesn't stick to the law.

Angela D'Amico - *Venezia v. D'Amico* - hired May 2026

On this one, the nutshell version is that the opposing party is a violent ex-felon with multiple criminal cases in San Mateo County. My client, though she has her own challenges, has never been convicted of any crime. Through a shocking series of events, Judge Cadet took the parties' minor child away from my client and gave sole temporary legal and physical custody to the other party back in March 2024. The other party had filed an ex parte "emergency" request claiming that the child reported my client was drinking while driving, hitting the child on the head, etc. Setting aside the fact that a 7 year-old's memory is not competent evidence, nor is hearsay evidence from a 7 year-old child admissible, for my client to not see her son for three months and counting is crazy-- especially on the basis of a very thin ex parte request where the other party got lucky. We were in court on June 11 for the return date of the Family Court Services report, and Judge Cadet took the extreme and extraordinary step of setting a 3044 trial based on a verbal request from the other party's counsel, without a Domestic Violence petition, trial, or other proceeding to determine a "finding of Domestic Violence" under Family Code 3044. I told the Court that I don't think she has the power to set a 3044 trial on her own motion when there has been no Domestic Violence petition, trial, or any trial at all. She disagreed and apparently believes that her "finding" on the ex parte application papers is sufficient. My client is going to court of appeal on a writ of prohibition, because this is insane. By the way, I took this case over from Michael Pascoe, who is himself a piece of shit person, as well as a total asshole whose behavior is appalling. The only reason Michael is not in my Hall of Shame is that I haven't had any run-ins with him since 2017, though I'm given to understand that nothing has changed since then.

Hall of Shame

ANDREW WATTERS

MENU ≡



andrew@andrewwatters.com

[top](#)

© 2026 Andrew G. Watters
Last updated: June 22, 2026 06:55:03

MAHSA PARVIZ

An honest assessment/review



March 11, 2022

by Andrew G. Watters



Her false c.v., which she sent to me in May 2021. **NEW**

Mahsa Parviz, aka Mahsa Parviz-Khyavi, aka Mahsa Parvis, is quite simply the worst person I have ever met in my life-- narrowly taking the crown from my former business collaborator Ben Cannon. While the harm to society caused by Ben is objectively worse considering that Ben has an entire fake telecom company that has scammed people out of possibly millions of dollars, the difference is that Mahsa is someone I was emotionally involved with in my personal life and at one point cared very much about. Anyway, Mahsa is a diabolically clever sociopath, a pathological liar, delusional, suffering from an undetermined mental illness, and is also now an approximately nine-time

convicted felon. Most recently, she was convicted of two felonies in Federal court after a jury trial in December 2021, which arose from her falsifying a passport application in order to kidnap her estranged daughter to a non-extradition country (case no. CR 21-293-DMG in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California). I am so glad I didn't have to testify in her trial, but that's only because I made it clear to her lawyer's investigator that I would be speaking the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth-- not covering for her or vouching for her as she asked me to do. Despite making my views clear, despite recently marrying my dream girl (which Mahsa bizarrely congratulated me for), and despite my repeated demands that she stop sending me letters, texts, and emails, and stop calling me, Mahsa *still* keeps reaching out to me for reasons unknown. I mean, damn, I didn't know I was all that, but in any case, I'm sure I'm not alone in being the victim of her fraud and the subject of her continuing attention.

I decided to post this web page because I have no other way to deter Mahsa from continuing to contact me, and because it really pissed me off that she was impersonating a Harvard Medical School graduate (and I believed her). I am posting this web page with the full support of my wife, who understands my reasons. Hopefully I will shame Mahsa into silence with the truth, and also provide fair warning to other people who fall victim to her lies and fraudulent schemes (just like I have with Ben Cannon). So let me make one thing clear at the outset: until February 2, 2022 when I discovered the truth, I fully believed that Mahsa, who still signs her emails as "Dr. Parviz" or "Mahsa Parviz, M.D. Ph.D.," *actually held* those degrees from Harvard due to her fake Harvard web page that she somehow posted on the official Harvard website, as well as her actually functional Harvard email address (mparviz@fas.harvard.edu). "Dr." Parviz, it turns out, *was never a student at Harvard Medical School*. She was in the Extension program at Harvard, which she did not complete, and has never earned any degrees there. I discovered this when I found a declaration from a Dean at Harvard explaining that Mahsa's frivolous lawsuit against Harvard for the return of her (non-existent) transcripts was totally and completely without merit. It appears from her application that she attended a junior college in Texas, followed by the University of Texas, which she may or may not have completed. So she at least has a high school diploma or GED, as admitted in her pre-sentencing objections...great.

Let's start at the beginning, which was April 2021. I had posted a profile on a major online dating website. I found Mahsa in a search for tall, attractive, athletic women under 35 with graduate degrees (lol). Yeah, she was seriously attractive-- a 5'9 dark-haired Persian statue, basically. Unfortunately I deleted all those photos when it became clear that she was a beautiful psycho. Anyway, we met in April 2021 (she was 45 minutes late for our first date, and I nearly left). We hit it off great and were talking about how great we were for each other. Everything seemed great for 24 hours, but then I googled her. In addition to her Harvard persona, her mug shot from one of her cases came up and I was confused. Wait a second...did I just...you know...with a multiple felon who was pretending to be someone else? Oh my freaking god.

"Every nerve ending, all of my senses, the blood in my veins, everything I had was screaming, 'Take off, man, just bam, get the fuck outta there!' Panic hit me like a bucket of water. First there was the shock of it--BAM, right in the face! Then I'm just standing there drenched in panic." --Mr. Orange, *Reservoir Dogs* (1992)

But wait...was this really the same person? That's where it got confusing. She had talked about wanting to complete the Law Office Study Program offered by the State Bar of California in order to...become a lawyer. A lawyer who pled guilty to attempted kidnapping, evading the police, apparently forging a judge's signature, and other felonies? Impossible that this was the same person.

It was the same person. Mahsa Parviz, at that point a seven-time convicted felon, actually asked me to study law in my office so she could become a lawyer. I told her that if those things were true, then she had zero chance of ever becoming a lawyer with that record, and it was a complete waste of effort that I was not willing to undertake. I asked her to explain her possible multiple felonies, and she initially said those had all been dismissed. But that's not what the dockets showed-- the dockets showed that her *appeals* had been dismissed as part of her *guilty pleas*. What the hell was going on? I explained this to her and she steadfastly denied ever being convicted of these seven felonies. Was I supposed to believe or not believe her without independent proof? I have no idea. Obviously, now I know the truth, but at the time it wasn't clear. By the way, she said she was not married (also a lie-- she was briefly

married to the father of her child and apparently still is because her nullity petition in L.A. County was dismissed for lack of prosecution).

Let me be clear on another thing: I never was hired as Mahsa's attorney, nor did I ever appear for her in any case or file papers for her. I did help out with a couple legal issues as best I could while dating her, which is perfectly legal. At no point in this essay do I reveal anything confidential, which in any case (i.e., like Ben Cannon) would be covered by the crime/fraud exception, in that Mahsa was committing crimes, was a fugitive from justice on a Texas warrant, and was otherwise scamming me into helping her get her daughter back (her parental rights had been terminated in Texas). She was obsessed with this crusade to the point of extorting me into providing legal help by threatening to end the relationship if I didn't comply. Of course it seemed less sick at the time when I was blinded by the possibility of having finally met someone great for me after a long drought.

This email that I sent to the Harvard IT department in February 2022 sums it up well:

Dear Mr. Dash,

You're listed as the Administrative Contact in the harvard.edu domain name record, and you seem to be the appropriate recipient for this inquiry.

I am a lawyer in California. I have been following the case of USA v. Parviz, which is a Federal criminal case in Los Angeles, CA against Mahsa Parviz. Ms. Parviz was recently convicted of filing a false passport application and aggravated identity theft in connection with an attempt to abduct her estranged biological daughter from foster care.

Ms. Parviz and I were in a personal romantic relationship in Spring 2021. When I learned that she was (at that time) a seven-time felon, was suffering from poorly treated or untreated mental illness, and was a compulsive liar, I ended the relationship. What I didn't know until yesterday 2/2/2022 was that she never attended Harvard Medical School and never earned a M.D. or Ph.D. there, contrary to her continuous representations-- at least as of October 2021, she continued to sign her emails with both of her non-existent degrees.

At the beginning of the relationship, I tried to do my due diligence by Googling her, and my search produced the following legitimate-looking Harvard web pages:

<https://scholar.harvard.edu/mparviz>

<https://collaborate.med.harvard.edu/display/~MP284/Parviz%2C+Mahsa>

She also had a valid Harvard email address that she used to accept email-- which

is still valid as of today, as verified by connecting to the Harvard email server via Telnet: mparviz@fas.harvard.edu

Finally, Ms. Parviz has several purported publications, and even courses she supposedly taught, listed on her Harvard web pages. The collection of Harvard web pages and related materials made me believe that she had the degrees she represented, and I didn't feel the need to look further. The fake Harvard persona tipped the balance between dating her and not dating her, as well as believing her or not believing her, as I'm sure it has for other guys as well.

It turns out that Ms. Parviz was enrolled in Harvard Extension School for a time, according to records filed in a lawsuit in 2017 when Ms. Parviz sued Harvard to release her non-existent Harvard Medical School transcripts. Please see attachment, which is the declaration of the H.E.S. Dean explaining the circumstances, and attaching Ms. Parviz's application for Harvard Extension School that clearly shows Ms. Parviz's situation. I have no idea why Ms. Parviz would sue Harvard knowing that she never attended Harvard Medical School and could not possibly have any transcripts there, but perhaps that is due to some type of mental condition.

Anyway, Ms. Parviz appears to have hacked or at least misused her Harvard Extension credentials to create a fake identity on the Harvard website and make people think that she was an accomplished medical researcher and graduate of Harvard. Her Harvard web pages readily appear on Google when people search for her name, although her criminal cases have taken precedence at this point.

I am counting myself lucky to have not (so far) had permanent fallout from this toxic relationship, other than a continuous stream of harassing and inappropriate emails, letters, and texts. But I would like to ask Harvard to at least consider deleting Ms. Parviz's web pages and disabling her email address for violations of the Harvard acceptable use policy so that her substantial fraud does not continue. The imprimatur of Harvard is a big deal and is worth protecting from frauds and cheats.

I'm cc'ing the prosecutors in Ms. Parviz's case because I think Ms. Parviz's fraudulent Harvard persona is relevant to her sentencing, which is coming up in March 2022. She's at least rational enough to know that impersonating a Harvard M.D./Ph.D. is wrong, and I hope that this awareness and her bad behavior factor into her sentencing in some fashion.

Best,

Andrew Watters

So...you be the judge. Was I reasonable in believing her when she steadfastly, repeatedly, and consistently claimed to have Harvard degrees that appeared confirmed by her official-looking Harvard web pages? I don't know. She had photos and other evidence showing that she worked at the hospital at Harvard in some capacity, and lots of credible-sounding stories. But let's continue with the story.

Colorful highlights of this awful experience include, but are not limited to:

1. She once asked me to reload a prepaid American Express card for her while she waited in the car, and it's still unclear to me whether the hundred dollar bills she handed me were authentic or counterfeit. I had this thought and realized the risk while the 7-11 clerk was counting out the bills and then hesitated (while looking at me a little funny) before handing them back to me when Mahsa's AMEX prepaid card was declined to be reloaded. I shrugged, then I bought a few small items in the store and acted as normal as I could while the clerk was staring at me. I was *absolutely sweating bullets* as I walked out of that store because I knew that I would be the one to get in trouble for handing the clerk an envelope of possibly fake bills without actually looking at the bills first...yeah, what a dummy for trusting her. Thank god the card was declined, which on a reload could only mean one thing...Mahsa was on the run (turns out she had an active warrant in Texas). In all fairness, I did glance at the bills after the reload failed, and I didn't see anything suspicious, which is why the relationship continued. But my point is that this is how easily I could have been arrested and possibly lose my law license because of Mahsa manipulating me. Wow, she is truly diabolical and has absolutely no regard for other people.
2. She was legally homeless (she claimed to be searching for a place after recently moving back to the area from Texas) and bounced around from hotel to hotel depending on whichever hotel would let her stay there with a prepaid card. Her parents gave her a lot of money, which is how she supported herself while being unable to work a normal job.
3. She was charged with grand theft in state court for allegedly stealing a guy's jewelry and Adderall. The case has languished in Santa Clara County, presumably due to her Federal charges and conviction.
4. I witnessed her commit at least one petty theft when she deliberately entered a gym without paying after she told me her membership had expired, and then abused their generosity by using the entire facility for hours, even after they closed and I was waiting for her in the parking lot for about 45 minutes.

Don't get me wrong-- there were some positive things in the relationship after she assured me she was not a seven-time felon and also swore that to the extent she had legal problems, it's because she was framed by her former brother-in-law, a lawyer in Texas. I thought that maybe this was my destiny: a beautiful, superintelligent young woman who was a possibly reformed criminal but who adored me, and that this was my chance to finally have someone in my life. What could I do?

Okay, so fast forward to early June 2021. After yet another argument where she threatened to dump me if I didn't help get her daughter back, and another series of erratic, bizarre SnapChat audio messages at 3 a.m., I decided that I had had enough. I dumped her and sent her a Dear Jane email. I blocked her on SnapChat, phone, and email, and promised myself that I would never make the same mistakes again.

After about five weeks of not hearing from her, I felt a sense of relief, but also a sense of curiosity. Why hadn't I heard from her when she had been so vocal in her desire to rekindle the relationship? I googled her again and was shocked to see USA v. Parviz, the Federal criminal case regarding the false passport application. I confirmed that she was in custody using the Federal inmate locator, and that answered my question. Part of me felt bad for her and the other part of me felt glad that she was behind bars. So I felt conflicted...and keep in mind, at this point I still thought she was an accomplished medical researcher with M.D. and Ph.D. degrees from Harvard. So what did I do? I wrote her a letter stating how I felt, as well as my concerns about her competency to stand trial in a Federal criminal case. Whoops...this appears to have prompted the ongoing campaign of harassment I have experienced.

First, she started writing me letters-- about twenty pages of letters, many of which talked about the future in an endearing fashion. Then she starting calling, texting, and emailing. I was still developing the situation, so I didn't know what to do. I didn't want to talk to her on jail calls, and I didn't want to get texts from her. So I let her send emails. Honestly it was an engaging correspondence for about a month and a half until I finally blocked her after yet another inappropriate series of messages. I can't recall exactly what she said, but it was something about being taken care of financially by someone else who she was talking to. I thought, wait a second-- you send me letters

talking about a future with me and then you threaten to pick someone from a purportedly deep pool of suitors? Sick, which is how she thinks.

So yeah, by then I had met my now-wife and the rest is history. But I still keep getting calls, texts, and emails from Mahsa and/or her mom. The last straw was yet another time-wasting request where Mahsa asked me to send her "file" to her attorney, who her mom confirmed was the longtime family attorney. The problem is, when I reached out to confirm the request with the attorney, he said he didn't represent Mahsa-- and he didn't respond to my subsequent email asking if he still wanted anything I had gathered while helping out Mahsa with her legal problems. I then blocked Mahsa's latest phone number (somehow she keeps sending texts from jail), complained to the Bureau of Prisons, and made it clear that if I get a single additional communication from Mahsa, I will finally get that restraining order I talked about previously. I am not sure what that would accomplish considering that Mahsa is already in jail, but it would be a symbolic gesture at least. I am finally taking this complaint online because I have nothing else I can do at this point to stop her harassing communications for good.

What did I learn from all this? Well, some people are just so screwed up that they can't help but commit felonies. Mahsa appears to be one of those people. In retrospect, I just have to recognize that I am the victim of a scam and go from there. At least I don't appear to have any lasting effects from this toxic relationship, other than emotional trauma from the roller coaster I experienced. I am eternally thankful that I was able to resist the temptation of someone so wrong but so attractive, in order to meet someone so right (and just as attractive), who I am with now.

If further proof is needed, just check out the summary of the trial evidence from the government that's in their opposition to Mahsa's motion for acquittal. Sad. The motion for acquittal was obviously denied. And she hasn't even tried to appeal her conviction!

To be continued...not bad for one day of effort! I have way more things to share, but I will start by adding more supporting documents as I find the time so that this is finished once and for all and is unassailable proof that this walking disaster of a person needs to disappear for the length of her sentence,

which I estimate at five years given her lengthy and serious criminal history. Looks like May 3, 2022 for sentencing.

Update March 14, 2022 - the Harvard information security department is looking at the web pages and they sent a polite email back.

Update March 19, 2022 - Harvard deleted her fake web page.

Update March 21, 2022 - I've heard from a couple other victims, as well as one person who wants to post counterpoint-type mitigating factors on here. After much consideration, I've decided that I'm going to host a counterpoint/mitigation section below with comments and supporting documents from persons who want to defend Mahsa in some fashion-- as long as they take responsibility for whatever they post on here with their names and contact information. It's only fair to permit that since I have a megaphone here while Mahsa is in prison and can't respond.

Update April 4, 2022 - I had a very interesting conversation with another victim who says Mahsa tried to take him for a million dollars. Wow.

Update June 19, 2022 - Mahsa's sentencing will be in July 2022. Meanwhile, she followed through on her extortionate threat to report me to the State Bar if I didn't remove my web page. I received a letter of inquiry, to which I responded within 20 minutes. I have nothing to hide and I categorically deny doing anything improper. As a thank-you for her extorting me, I am going to post an email I received from one of her Boston-area friends, who says he sent the following email to Mahsa's family in an effort to conduct an intervention. Here it is, verbatim:

Dear Mr. Parviz,

My name is Andrew Taylor; I have been a friend of your daughter Mahsa for a few months now. Mahsa is an intelligent, hard-working, lovely young woman in whom I see tremendous potential. However, as I'm sure you're aware, she has led a lifestyle here in Boston that is causing me and the rest of her friends great concern. We wish to intervene and help her make better decisions but we don't quite know where to start; I am writing today to solicit your input. Among her concerning behaviors:

-Compulsive drinking. Mahsa, despite being legally underage, consumes significant amounts of alcohol on a daily basis, despite having endured an alcohol-induced miscarriage during her relationship with Mark as well as having been arrested for

DUI. Her alcohol consumption has reached the point that we cannot take her to a restaurant that serves alcohol without her ordering several drinks, regardless of her ability to afford them or any events on her agenda that would require her to present a polished image; there have been several instances where Mahsa's alcohol consumption has resulted in her missing job interviews and classes at Harvard. I have personally witnessed her using her sister's driver's license numerous times as a means to enter liquor stores and drinking establishments.

-Compulsive shopping and financial irresponsibility. Mahsa owns numerous clothing articles from very reputable name brands (Gucci, Prada, etc.) and continues to buy many more, yet she has no clear means of affording them. Visits to her apartment have resulted in her friends, myself included, finding notices from Neiman Marcus, Macy's and Walmart, demanding immediate payment for significantly large credit balances Mahsa owes them; Mahsa recently disclosed to her boyfriend [redacted] that her credit score is only slightly higher than 500, which doesn't qualify her for a loan of any sort. To illustrate her lack of financial responsibility, this semester, she complained to her boyfriend, [redacted], that she was unable to afford her tuition for the semester. [redacted] immediately suggested that she return some of her very expensive clothing items, in response to which she threatened to divorce him if he ever suggested such an idea if they were to get married. Further, she has bragged to several of her friends, myself included, that nothing gets her parents to send money to fuel her shopping habits more quickly than the phrase "Mommy, I'm hungry!".

-Poor language. Mahsa doesn't hesitate to use obscenities when addressing her friends, especially if she is upset. I recently inquired with her about why her Facebook and LinkedIn profiles suggest she is a PhD candidate at Harvard, when I know first-hand that she is attempting to get into the ALM (Master of Liberal Arts) program in finance at Harvard. Her response was the following:

"Hey fat fuck! Yeah I'm getting my masters and my phd. Go stick it up your butt buddy [redacted]'s ass and don't speak to me again. I don't talk to your kind loser. :)...you have no friends you depressed fucking loser"

I wish I could tell you that outbursts like these are rare but unfortunately, they are quite common. Mahsa routinely talks like this to anyone here in Boston who has the nerve to refuse her demands, most commonly for alcohol and money, or when the truth in her statements comes under question.

-Sexual promiscuity. Mahsa has disclosed to [redacted] that she has had numerous sexual partners, many of whom with which she has had unprotected sex. She has had numerous relationships, all of which have been terminated as a result of her being sexually unfaithful to her partner; her most recent relationship with [redacted] ended as when she came to Dallas for a wedding, she had sex with a surgeon she met at the wedding. Mahsa received a birth control shot shortly after she moved to Boston, presumably with money provided by you, and has used this as an excuse to have unprotected sex with men she typically has known for less than a couple of weeks. We are worried that when the shot's potency inevitably wears off, her sexual habits will continue which, combined with her egregious alcohol consumption, will result in Mahsa enduring a second miscarriage.

Mr. Parviz, I'm not writing to you today with the intention of ratting her out, I'm writing because I and all of my mutual friends with her know that this pattern of irresponsibility will result in imminent consequences for her. We wish to

intervene before it is too late but she has resisted our efforts and continues to habitually make poor decisions. Any sort of encouragement or advice you can provide to me would be greatly appreciated.

With warmest regards,
Andrew Taylor

And here is my [email exchange](#) with the State Bar of California. What a sick joke-- this is the thanks I get for trying to help.

Update July 2, 2022 - Mahsa's State Bar complaint was dismissed, as expected. Meanwhile, the Government filed a pre-sentencing report recommending a sentence of 81 months (6 years 9 months) in prison due to Mahsa's extensive criminal history and flagrant disregard for the law. I was disappointed to see the Harvard angle omitted from the report, but I guess they had enough ammunition without it. According to Mahsa's defense counsel's own psychiatric evaluation of her, she has Borderline Personality Disorder and Bipolar Disorder. I am not surprised in the least by that, nor am I surprised by her trying to get out of a stiff sentence by claiming that her mental health problems caused her to offend. Mahsa deserves to go to prison for a long time, and her sentencing is on July 12, 2022. Will update this with additional documents in the near future, including my restraining order filing that I've finally gotten around to completing.

Update July 3, 2022 - I heard from [redacted], who left me a voicemail stating that he cannot be associated with Mahsa in any way. I debated for a while before redacting his name from Mr. Taylor's email. On the one hand, redacting information is inconsistent with my policy of radical honesty. However, Mr. Taylor vouched for [redacted] and said he knew [redacted] is a good guy. That was enough for me, setting aside privacy concerns. So there you have it, I balanced privacy and radical honesty appropriately in a difficult case. Also, by request, here is the Government's [pre-sentencing report](#), and [Mahsa's response](#). I laughed out loud at her response, especially in the "acceptance of responsibility" section.

Update July 14, 2022 - Mahsa has been [sentenced](#) to 61 months in prison (five years, one month) followed by three years of supervised release during which she has to obtain mental health treatment. I am also ready to pull the trigger

on the restraining order, I just need to sign the forms. I guess that's a wrap for now.

Update May 25, 2023 - I was wrong that this is over. Mahsa wrote me a letter threatening to file a frivolous Domestic Violence action against me in San Mateo County, where I practice, specifically to trash my reputation among the local judges-- unless I take down this web page. In case it wasn't clear, I do not censor my website for any reason. Extorting me into removing the web page, which is intended to protect the public from Mahsa's diabolical, illegal schemes, is the last straw. So I filed [a lawsuit](#) in the Western District of Washington, where Mahsa is incarcerated. Any interested persons can follow along on PACER using the case number.

Update July 24, 2023 - Well, Mahsa sued me for Domestic Violence due to this web page, of course leaving out the fact that she is in Federal prison and doesn't need any type of protection. Thankfully, the Temporary Restraining Order was denied. I'll post updates as I find the time.

Update December 2, 2023 - the DV case was dismissed in August, but so was the Federal case I filed against her. The Federal case is now on appeal in the 9th Circuit. Mahsa continues to harass me and I can't seem to escape this nightmare.

Update July 12, 2024 - I was provided a link to the oral argument in Mahsa's appeal, which is on YouTube courtesy of the U.S. Court of Appeals. Check this out: [YouTube link](#). I didn't realize oral arguments were live streamed, but that's great. I think AUSA Seiden basically crushed it, although this was an interesting issue as demonstrated by the justices' questions to her. What do you think? There's no decision yet, but it's been two months since oral argument. You can infer they want to get this one right.

Update December 6, 2025: Mahsa escaped from Federal custody and is at large. This is crazy to me considering that she was a month away from being released. I can't believe she is [on Instagram](#), which is crazy to me.

Update December 8, 2025: I received an email from a resident of the transitional housing facility, and nothing in it surprises me except that I'm

shocked she would escape a month before getting out after nearly five years in prison, which shows she is not thinking rationally:

Good Evening Mr. Watters. My name is [Redacted] and I am a resident at the federal halfway house that Mahsa Parviz was located at. I wanted to update you on a couple of items for your website.

Ms. Parviz was at a halfway house in Van Nuys, CA. called Orion House. She was released early from federal prison under a very misguided and ill advised law called the First Step Act. The types of people getting substantial sentence reductions is insane. Dangerous drug dealers, white collar criminals that victimized numerous innocent people, bank robbers, and people with crimes like Mahsa.

Apparently, she had been bamboozling numerous male residents here, offering sex for drugs and money. Even though she had the criminal history you outlined on your website, she was put on an ankle bracelet and allowed to go out on community passes. That is a failure of security by Behavioral Systems Southwest staff, who run Orion House.

Mahsa Parviz apparently was found by staff high on drugs and running around the building naked. Police were called, but she was able to convince them she had a medical problem and needed to go to the hospital. She escaped from the hospital. Not sure if she had family or friend assistance with the escape. Poor police and hospital security supervision.

That is my update. I hope she is caught soon and given an escape charge, so she will spend at least another 5 years in federal prison. The U.S. Marshall Service is actively searching for her. They usually succeed fairly quickly.

Let me know if you have any other questions.

[Redacted]

Update December 24, 2025: Mahsa is now showing as back in prison, which means they captured her. Thank god.

Update February 12, 2026: Mahsa is scheduled to be released on February 27, 2026, which means she played off her escape as a mental health episode and will face no consequences. Sad. She filed a document in her case stating that she wants to return to Iran. I seriously doubt that request will be granted, but who knows. She also filed a bizarre series of emails in her case, in which she claims she was sexually assaulted during her escape at a rehab facility. [Here is the filing](#), very strange. Someone should make a movie with her as a character. I know just the guy to write the screenplay...lol.

[Home page](#)

[top](#)

© Andrew G. Watters

Last updated: February 12, 2026 06:56:30

DV-100: RECENT ABUSE AND HISTORY

1. I am a Witness in this action and submit this Declaration in support of Petitioner Mahsa Parviz's request for Temporary and final Domestic Violence Restraining Orders ("DVRO"). I have firsthand, personal knowledge of the facts contained in this declaration, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify hereto under oath.

2. Except as to such facts as stated from my information and belief, which I believe to be true, the following facts are stated from my personal knowledge. I offer this declaration in lieu of personal testimony pursuant to §§2009 and 2015.5 of the *Code of Civil Procedure*, Rule 1225 of the *California Rules of Court*, Reifler vs. Superior (1974) 39 Cal.App.3d 479 and Marriage of Stevenot (1984) 154 Cal.App.2d 1051.

3. I am a close friend and former classmate of Mahsa Parviz for about 20 years. I have first-hand knowledge of the ongoing stalking and threats Dr. Parviz has suffered at the hand of her former attorney, Andrew George Watters, SBOC # 237990, who worked as Mahsa's general counsel in April 2021 and ironically communicated with prosecutors on Mahsa's behalf to enforce restraining orders protecting her and her daughter from her ex-husband and other relatives during her divorce. I was with Mahsa through that entire summer and Mahsa reluctantly went on less than five dates with Watters at his insistence.

4. Watters ultimately used information from SEALED FAMILY LAW PROCEEDINGS which he was only privy to as a result of the attorney-client relationship. When Mahsa made it clear she isn't romantically interested, Watters embarked on a personal vendetta to destroy everything Mahsa cares for with three disparaging websites he manages, had her wrongfully incarcerated, sued her twice and lost.

5. Mahsa wishes to return home and wants her and her family to be safe and to resume business operations. On Monday, July 27, 2026, we contacted Watters again about his professional liability plan and he immediately posted sexually explicit content online as revenge. Watters practices in San Mateo County and has such low regard for his profession that he even hosts a "hall of shame" on his law firm website about the Honorable Chinhaye Cadet, calling her "reckless, unqualified, and incompetent" in

1 addition to several other false claims just as he does about Mahsa. I have witnessed Andrew Watters'
2 continued stalking as he has monitored Mahsa's location as recently as yesterday. Mahsa fears for her
3 and her family's lives because of the romantic nature of this man's obsession and knowledge of his
4 mental history as he has disclosed that he takes Risperdal due to a diagnosis of bipolar disorder which
5 he was first diagnosed with following law school graduation at the age of 26 years when he stayed up
6 for 6 days straight without any stimulants trying to apply for jobs and was ultimately committed to a
7 psych ward. Moreover, we have both seen him with multiple guns, including a Smith and Wesson
8 revolver and he carries a pocket knife. We want Watters to remove his website and stop harassing us.
9 Mahsa has gone into hiding and is doing her best to prevent any disclosure of her and her immediate
10 family's location as well but without court intervention we do not feel safe.
11

12 Date: 07/31/2026
13

14
15
16 

16 SOPHIA SOBHANI
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Instruction: The person asking for a restraining order must complete items ① and ②. The court will complete the rest of this form.

① Person Asking for ProtectionName: MAHSA PARVIS**Electronically
RECEIVED**

8/3/2026 4:32 PM

② Person to Be RestrainedCLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
SAN MATEO COUNTYName: ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS**③ Notice of Hearing**

A court hearing is scheduled on the request for restraining orders against the person in ②:

*Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.***Electronically
FILED**

By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

ON 08/04/2026

By /s/ Steward, David

Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
SAN MATEO
500 COUNTY CENTER
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

*Court fills in case number when form is filed.***Case Number:**

26-FAM-01592

Date: 08/26/2026 Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: DVPA Room: TBD

Name and address of court if different from above:

You may attend your court date remotely, such as by phone or videoconference. For more information, go to the court's website for the county listed above. To find the court's website, go to: www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm

At the hearing, the court must consider whether failure to make any of the orders requested by the person in ① might risk the safety of the person in ① or any children listed on form DV-105. If child or spousal support was requested, the court must consider whether failure to make support orders would risk the safety of the person in ① or any children listed on form DV-105.

To the person in ②:

- If you attend the hearing (in person, by phone, or by videoconference) and the judge grants a restraining order against you, the order will be effective immediately, and you could be arrested if you violate the order.
- If you do not attend the hearing, the judge may still grant the restraining order that could last up to five years. After you receive a copy of the order, you could be arrested if you violate the order.



4 Temporary Restraining Orders (Any orders granted are attached on form DV-110.)a. Temporary Restraining Orders (*any order requested under Family Code section 6320*): (check one)

- (1) ☐ All **granted** until the court hearing.
 (2) ☐ All **denied** until the court hearing. (*Reasons for denial are given below in b.*)
 (3) ☒ Partly **granted** and partly **denied** until the court hearing. (*Reasons for denial are given in b.*)

b. ☒ Reasons for denial of some or all of the orders requested on form DV-100.

- (1) ☐ The facts given in the request (form DV-100) do not show reasonable proof of a past act or acts of abuse. (Family Code sections 6300, 6320, and 6320.5.)
 (2) ☐ The facts given in the request do not give enough detail about the most recent incidents of abuse, including what happened, the dates, who did what to whom, or any injuries or history of abuse.
 (3) ☒ Other reasons for denial:

Petitioner's request for other orders is overly broad and the Court needs additional information from Petitioner regarding the request.

5 Confidential Information Regarding Minora. ☐ A request to keep minor's information confidential was made (see form DV-160) and **granted**. (*See form DV-165, Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential, served with this form.*)b. **If the request was granted, the information described on the order (form DV-165, item ⑦) must be kept CONFIDENTIAL. The disclosure or misuse of the information is punishable as a sanction, with a fine of up to \$1,000 or other court penalties.****6 Service of Documents by the Person in ①**

At least ☒ five ☐ _____ days before the hearing, someone age 18 or older—not you or anyone to be protected—must personally give (serve) a court file-stamped copy of this form (DV-109, *Notice of Court Hearing*) to the person in ② along with a copy of all the forms indicated below:

- a. DV-100, *Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order* (file-stamped)
 b. ☒ DV-110, *Temporary Restraining Order* (file-stamped), **if granted**
 c. DV-120, *Response to Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order* (blank form)
 d. DV-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order?*
 e. ☐ DV-170, *Notice of Order Protecting Information of Minor*, and DV-165, *Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential* (file-stamped), **if granted**
 f. ☐ Other (*specify*): _____

Judge's Signature

Date: 8/4/2026

Electronically

SIGNED

By /s/ Kent, Brooke

Judicial Officer



To the Person in ①:

- **At the hearing:** The judge will decide if a restraining order is needed to keep you or your children safe. If the judge grants you a restraining order at the hearing, it can last up to five years. You must attend the hearing if you want the judge to make any of the orders you requested on form DV-100. Bring any evidence or witnesses you have. For more information, read form DV-520-INFO, *Get Ready for Your Restraining Order Court Hearing*.
- **Option to cancel hearing:** If item ④a(2) or ④a(3) is checked, you have the option of canceling the hearing. If you cancel the hearing, your request for restraining order will not move forward. Any temporary orders made will expire on the day of the hearing. If you want to cancel the hearing, use form DV-112, *Waiver of Hearing on Denied Request for Temporary Restraining Order*.
- **Before the hearing:** You must have someone personally serve (give) the person in ② a copy of all the papers listed in ⑥ by the deadline listed in ⑥. For more information, read form DV-200-INFO, *What Is "Proof of Personal Service"?* You may ask to reschedule the hearing if you are unable to serve the person in ② and need more time to serve the documents, or for other good reasons. Read form DV-115-INFO, *How to Ask for a New Hearing Date*.

To the Person in ②:

- **Respond in writing (optional):** You can respond in writing by completing form DV-120, *Response to Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order*. For more information, read form DV-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order?*
- **At the hearing:** Whether or not you respond in writing, attend the hearing if you want the judge to hear from you before making an order. At the hearing, tell the judge why you agree or disagree with the orders requested. Bring any evidence or witnesses you have. Read form DV-520-INFO, *Get Ready for Your Restraining Order Court Hearing*.
- If you are unable to attend your court hearing or need more time to prepare your case, you may ask the judge to reschedule your court date. Read form DV-115-INFO, *How to Ask for a New Hearing Date*.



Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civil Code section 54.8.)

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Notice of Court Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: _____ Clerk, by _____, Deputy

DV-110**Temporary Restraining Order**☒ **Original Order** ☐ **Amended Order**

Instruction: The person asking for a restraining order must complete **①**, **②**, and **③** only. The court will complete the rest of this form.

① Protected Person (name): MAHSA PARVIZ

② Restrained Person

***Full Name:** ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS

***Gender:** ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary ***Race:** WHITE

***Age:** 45 (estimate, if age unknown) **Date of Birth:** 09/02/1980

Height: 6'0" **Weight:** 190 lbs.

Hair Color: BROWN **Eye Color:** BLUE

Relationship to person in ①: Former attorney & dating relationship

Address of restrained person: 801 N HUMBOLDT ST

City: SAN MATEO **State:** CA **Zip:** 94401

Firearms, firearm parts, or ammunition that restrained person may have:
(Include information from form DV-100, item 9)

SMITH & WESSON REVOLVER

(Information that has a star (*) next to it is required to add this order into a California police database. Give all the information you know.)

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Electronically
FILED

By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

ON 08/04/2026

By /s/ Steward, David
Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
SAN MATEO
500 COUNTY CENTER
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number:
26-FAM-01592

Electronically
RECEIVED

8/3/2026 4:32 PM

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
SAN MATEO COUNTY

③ ☒ Other Protected People

In addition to the person named in **①**, the people listed below are protected by the orders listed in **⑨** through **⑫**.

<u>Full name</u>	<u>Relationship to person in ①</u>	<u>Age</u>
<u>SHAMSI PARVIZ</u>	<u>MOTHER</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>BAHMAN PARVIZ</u>	<u>FATHER</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>CLARA PARVIZ</u>	<u>DAUGHTER</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>BLAKE MASO</u>	<u>HUSBAND</u>	<u>39</u>

☐ Check here if you need to list more people. List them on a separate piece of paper, write "DV-110, Other Protected People" at the top, and attach it to this form.

(The court will complete the rest of this form)

④ Your Hearing Date (Court Date)



This order expires at the end of the hearing listed below:

Hearing Date: 08/26/2026 **Time:** 8:30 a.m. ☒ a.m. ☐ p.m.

This order must be enforced throughout the United States. See page 7.

This is a Court Order.



To the Person in (2): The judge has granted temporary orders. See (5) through (21). If you do not obey these orders, you can be charged with a crime, go to jail or prison, and/or pay a fine. It is a felony to take or hide a child in violation of this order.

(5) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

- a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited item listed below in b.
- b. **Prohibited items are:**
 - (1) Firearms (guns);
 - (2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers, frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and
 - (3) Ammunition.
- c. Within 24 hours of receiving this order, you must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to law enforcement, any prohibited items you have in your immediate possession or control.
- d. If law enforcement asks you for your prohibited items, you must turn them over immediately.
- e. Within 48 hours of receiving this order, you must file a receipt with the court that proves all prohibited items have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use form DV-800/JV-270, *Receipt for Firearms, Firearm Parts, and Ammunition*.) If law enforcement served you with the restraining order, you must give a copy of the receipt to that law enforcement agency.

(6) ☒ Restrained person has prohibited items

The court finds that you have the following prohibited items:

a. Firearms and/or firearm parts

Description (include serial number, if known)	Location, if known	Proof of compliance received by the court
(1) Petitioner alleges Respondent has a Smith and Wesson located		☐ (date): _____
(2) in Respondent's apartment at 801 Humboldt Street, San Mateo		☐ (date): _____
(3) _____		☐ (date): _____
(4) _____		☐ (date): _____

b. Ammunition

Description	Amount, if known	Location, if known	Proof of compliance received by the court
(1) _____			☐ (date): _____
(2) _____			☐ (date): _____

This is a Court Order.



7 ☒ **Court Hearing to Review Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition Compliance**

In addition to the hearing listed on form DV-109, item **(3)**, you must attend the court hearing listed below to prove that you have properly turned in, sold, or stored all prohibited items (described in **(5)b**) you still have or own, including any items listed in **(6)**. If you do not attend the court hearing listed below, a judge may find that you have violated the restraining order and notify law enforcement and a prosecuting attorney of the violation.



Date: 8/24/26
Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: 32
Room: 7D

Name and address of court, if different than court address listed on page 1

8 **No Body Armor**

You cannot own, possess, or buy body armor (defined in Penal Code section 16288). You must relinquish any body armor you have in your possession.

9 **Cannot Look for Protected People**

You must not take any action to look for any person protected by this order, including their addresses or locations.

☐ If checked, this order was **not granted** because the judge found good cause not to make the order.

10 **Order to Not Abuse** ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ **Granted as follows:**

You must not do the following things to the person in **(1) and any person listed in **(3)**:**

- Harass, attack, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, stalk, molest, destroy personal property, keep under surveillance, impersonate (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), block movements, annoy by phone or other electronic means (including repeatedly contact), or disturb the peace.
- “Disturb the peace” means to destroy someone’s mental or emotional calm. This can be done directly or indirectly, such as through someone else. This can also be done in any way, such as by phone, over text, or online. Disturbing the peace includes coercive control.
- “Coercive control” means a number of acts that unreasonably limit the free will and individual rights of any person protected by this restraining order. Examples include isolating them from friends, relatives, or other support; keeping them from food or basic needs; controlling or keeping track of them, including their movements, contacts, actions, money, or access to services; and making them do something by force, threat, or intimidation, including threats based on actual or suspected immigration status. Coercive control includes reproductive coercion meaning controlling someone’s reproductive choices, such as using force, threat, or intimidation to pressure someone to be or not be pregnant, and to control or interfere with someone’s contraception, birth control, pregnancy, or access to health information.

This is a Court Order.



11 No-Contact Order ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:

- a. You must **not contact** ☒ the person in ① ☒ the persons in ③ directly or indirectly, by any means, including by telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means.
- b. ☐ Exception to 11a:
- (1) ☐ You may have brief and peaceful contact with the person in ① only to communicate about your children for court-ordered visits.
- (2) ☐ You may have contact with your children only during court-ordered contact or visits.
- (3) ☐ Other (explain): _____
- c. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or process server or another person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this order.

12 Stay-Away Order ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:

- a. You must stay at least (specify): 100 yards away from (check all that apply):
- ☒ Person in ①. ☒ School of person in ①.
- ☒ Home of person in ①. ☒ Persons in ③.
- ☒ Job or workplace of person in ①. ☒ Children's school or child care.
- ☒ Vehicle of person in ①. ☐ Other (explain): _____
- b. ☐ Exception to 12a:
- The stay-away orders do not apply:
- (1) ☐ For you to exchange your children for court-ordered visits. You must do so briefly and peacefully.
- (2) ☐ For you to visit with your children for court-ordered contact or visits.
- (3) ☐ Other (explain): _____

13 Order to Move Out ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

You must take only personal clothing and belongings needed until the hearing and move out immediately from (address): _____

14 Other Orders ☐ Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

~~Remove www.andrew.watters.com/hall-of-shame and any other websites wherein Mahsa Parviz or her likeness is depicted whether or not the website is managed by Andrew Watters directly or indirectly, recipients of this order shall forthwith remove the offending content~~

This is a Court Order.



15 Child Custody and Visitation ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:Granted on the attached form DV-140, *Child Custody and Visitation Order*, and☐ (list other form): _____**16 Protect Animals** ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

- a. ☐ You must stay at least _____ yards away from the animals listed below.
- b. ☐ You must not take, sell, hide, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, get rid of, transfer, or borrow against the animals.
- c. ☐ The person in **(1)** is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below.

Name (or other way to ID animal)	Type of animal	Breed (if known)	Color
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

17 Control of Property ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:Until the hearing, **only** the person in **(1)** can use, control, and possess the following property:

18 Health and Other Insurance ☐ Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

The person ☐ in **(1)** ☐ in **(2)** is ordered **not** to cash, borrow against, cancel, transfer, dispose of, or change the beneficiaries of any insurance or coverage held for the benefit of the parties—or their children, if any—for whom support may be ordered, or both.

19 Record Communications ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:The person in **(1)** may record communications made by the person in **(2)** that violate this order.**This is a Court Order.**

20 Property Restraint Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

The person ☐ in ① ☐ in ② must not transfer, borrow against, sell, hide, or get rid of or destroy any property, including animals, except in the usual course of business or for necessities of life. In addition, each person must notify the other of any new or big expenses and explain them to the court. (If the court granted ⑪, the person in ② must not contact the person in ①. To notify the person in ① of new or big expenses, have a server mail or personally give the information to the person in ① or contact their lawyer, if they have one.)

21 Pay Debts Owed for Property ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

The person in ② must make these payments until this order ends:

Pay to: _____ For: _____ Amount: \$ _____ Due date: _____
 Pay to: _____ For: _____ Amount: \$ _____ Due date: _____
 Pay to: _____ For: _____ Amount: \$ _____ Due date: _____

22 Orders That May Be Made at the Hearing Date (Court Date)

If the person in ① checked any of these orders on form DV-100, a judge could grant them at your court date.

- Child Support
- Lawyer's Fees and Costs
- Batterer Intervention Program
- Spousal Support
- Pay Expenses Caused by Abuse
- Transfer of Wireless Phone Account

23 No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free. If you want the sheriff to serve your papers, complete form SER-001, Request for Sheriff to Serve Court Papers. Give form SER-001 and a copy of this order to the sheriff.

24 ☐ **Attached Pages** (All of the attached pages are part of this order.)

- a. Number of pages attached to this nine-page form: _____
- b. Attachments include forms (check all that apply):
- ☐ DV-140 ☐ DV-145 ☐ DV-820 ☐ Other: _____

Judge's SignatureDate: 8/4/2026

Electronically

SIGNED

By /s/ Kent, Brooke

Judge or Judicial Officer**This is a Court Order.**

Certificate of Compliance With VAWA

This temporary protective order meets all “full faith and credit” requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. section 2265 (1994) (VAWA), upon notice of the restrained person. This court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter; the restrained person has been or will be afforded notice and a timely opportunity to be heard as provided by the laws of this jurisdiction. **This order is valid and entitled to enforcement in each jurisdiction throughout the 50 states of the United States, the District of Columbia, all tribal lands, and all U.S. territories, commonwealths, and possessions and shall be enforced as if it were an order of that jurisdiction.**

Warnings and Notices to the Restrained Person in ②

Your Address to Receive Court Orders

If the judge makes a restraining order at the hearing (court date), which has the same orders as in this Temporary Restraining Order, you will get a copy of that order by mail at your last known address, which is written in ② on page 1. If your address was not listed on this form or is incorrect, contact the court. If you did not attend your hearing and want to know if the judge granted a restraining order against you, contact the court.

Child Custody, Visitation, and Support

- **Child custody and visitation:** If you do not attend your hearing (court date), the judge can make custody and visitation orders for your children without hearing from you.
- **Child support:** The judge can order child support based on the income of both parents. The judge can also have that support taken directly from a parent's paycheck. Child support can be a lot of money, and usually you have to pay until the child is age 18. File and serve **form FL-150, *Income and Expense Declaration***, or **form FL-155, *Financial Statement (Simplified)***, if you want the judge to have information about your finances. Otherwise, the court may make support orders without hearing from you.
- **Spousal support:** File and serve **form FL-150, *Income and Expense Declaration***, so the judge will have information about your finances. Otherwise, the court may make support orders without hearing from you.

Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition

Under California law, you cannot have any firearms (guns), certain firearm parts, or ammunition. (Family Code sections 6216 and 6389(a)). Ask the court for information on how to properly turn in, sell, or store these items in your city or county. You can also contact your local police department for instructions.

This is a Court Order.



Instructions for Law Enforcement

This order is effective when made. It is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency shall advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then shall enforce it. Violations of this order are subject to criminal penalties.

Duties of Officer Serving This Order

The officer who serves this order on the Restrained Person must do the following:

- Ask if the Restrained Person is in possession of any of the prohibited items listed in (6), or has custody or control of any that they have not already turned in.
- Order the Restrained Person to immediately surrender to you all prohibited items.
- Issue a receipt to the Restrained Person for all prohibited items that have been surrendered.
- Complete a proof of personal service and file it with the court. You may use form DV-200 for this purpose.
- Within one business day of service, submit the proof of service directly into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS), including the serving officer's name and law enforcement agency.

Arrest Required if Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed the order, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Penal Code sections 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, the orders remain in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Penal Code section 13710(b).)

Child Custody and Visitation

Child custody and visitation orders are listed on form DV-140 or another attached form. If the judge made these orders, look at (11) and (12) of this order to see if the judge granted an exception for brief and peaceful contact with the person in (1) as needed to follow court-ordered visits. Contact by the person in (2) that is **not** brief and peaceful is a violation of this order. **Forms DV-100 and DV-105 are not orders. Do not enforce them.**

This is a Court Order.



Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. **Emergency Protective Order (EPO):** If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. **No-Contact Order:** If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item ⑪ is an example of a no-contact order.
3. **Criminal Protective Order (CPO):** If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. **Civil Restraining Orders:** If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

(The clerk will fill out this part.)

Instructions to Clerk: You must give up to three free (certified, stamped, and endorsed) copies of this order to the protected party.


—Clerk's Certificate—

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

I certify that this *Temporary Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: _____ Clerk, by _____, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Number, Address) Andrew G. Watters 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 135 Redwood City, CA 94065 TELEPHONE NO: (650) 542-0057,800 FAX NO.(Optional): MOBILE NO: E-MAIL ADDRESS: andrew@watters.law ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Respondent, In Pro Per SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO		Reserved for Clerk's Office Stamp FILED SAN MATEO COUNTY AUG 10 2026  By: _____ Deputy Clerk
☒ Southern Division, 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063 ☐ Northern Division, 1050 Mission Road, South San Francisco, CA 94080 PETITIONER: Mahsa Parvis RESPONDENT: Andrew G. Watters		
DECLARATION RE: NOTICE OF EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDERS		CASE NUMBER: 26-FAM-01592

I, the undersigned declare:

1. I am in this case the (choose one):

A. ☐ attorney for Petitioner	☐ attorney for respondent	☐ attorney for child(ren)
B. ☐ self-represented Petitioner	☐ self-represented Respondent	
C. ☒ other (explain): On Behalf of Self-Represented Respondent, Andrew G. Watters		

2. The opposing party is represented by an attorney: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 The minor child(ren) is/are represented by an attorney: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 [If you checked "Yes", fill in the attorney's name, address, and telephone number. If you checked "No", fill in the other party's name, address and telephone number.]
 Party/Attorney Name: Petitioner, Mahsa Parvis
 Address and Telephone number: Fairmont Hotel, 950 Mason Street, Room #350, San Francisco, CA 94108;
 (415) 772-5000

 Child(ren)'s attorney name:
 Address and Telephone number:

3. **NOTICE TO OPPOSING PARTY REQUIREMENT**
 - A. I gave reasonable notice of my Ex Parte Application for Orders (see CRC 5.151) to the opposing party and/or their attorney of record on Date: August 7, 2026 Time: 9:11 AM
 in the following manner:

☐ Personal delivery ☐ Fax (by prior agreement) ☐ In person ☒ By telephone
 Other: Please See Attached Declaration

 - B. ☒ I served copies of the Ex Parte Application and supporting papers to the opposing party and/or their attorney of record on Date: _____ Time: _____
 in the following manner:

☒ Personal delivery ☐ Fax (by prior agreement) ☐ In person ☐ By telephone
 Other: Please See Attached Declaration

DECLARATION RE: NOTICE OF EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDERS

RECEIVED

CASE NAME: Mahsa Parvis v. Andrew G. Watters

CASE NUMBER:
26-FAM-01592

- C. ☐ I have been informed that the opposing party has received my Application and supporting papers and will be opposing my request for Ex Parte Orders. (describe):
- D. I HAVE NOT given notice of the ex parte request for orders because (Check all that apply. You must explain below):
- ☐ This is an application for Domestic Violence Prevention Act (DVPA) restraining orders.
 - ☐ Great or irreparable injury will result to me before the matter can be heard on notice (explain below).
 - ☐ A good faith effort was made to notify the opposing party but notice was unable to be given (explain below).
 - ☐ The other party agrees to the orders requested.
 - ☐ No significant direct burden or inconvenience to the adverse party will be likely to result from the orders sought herein (explain below.)
 - ☐ I fear for my physical safety (and that of my children, if applicable).
 - ☐ Other:

Explanation:


- E. ☐ A hearing between the parties is already set on _____, 20____ at _____ AM ☐ PM
and I am asking that this motion be heard at the same time.
4. There are the following (temporary) (permanent) orders currently in effect (list orders and dates issued):
08/03/2026; DV-110 Temporary Restraining Order
5. The parties ☐ have ☒ have not been to Family Court Services for mediation.
If yes, mediation was held on _____, 20____, and the mediator was _____.
The recommendation of the mediator was:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: August 10, 2026

Olivia Torres Castillo

[Type or Print Name]



[Signature]

Page 2 of 2

DECLARATION RE: NOTICE OF EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDERS

SHORT TITLE: Mahsa Parvis v. Andrew G. Watters	CASE NUMBER: 26-FAM-01592
---	------------------------------

ATTACHMENT (Number): 3A & 3B

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I, Olivia Torres Castillo, declare and state as follows:

1. All statements contained in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge, except any matters stated upon information and belief. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently as to all matters contained in this declaration
2. I was asked by Respondent, Andrew G. Watters on August 7th, 2026 to contact Petitioner, Mahsa Parviz in order to give her notice regarding Respondent's Ex Parte Application he was intending on filing on August 10, 2026. Respondent currently has a Temporary Restraining Order with Petitioner, and is not allowed to contact her.
3. Respondent had provided me with Petitioner's DVRO Packet, which included her contact information in order to do this task. I saw Ms. Parviz had listed an address of the Fairmont Hotel, 950 Mason Street, #350, San Francisco, CA 94108. I had Googled this address in order to find an associated phone number, and provided me with (415) 772-5000.
4. On August 7, 2026 at 9:11am, I called the Fairmont Hotel's phone number, and pressed zero (0) in order to be connected to the Operator. Once the Operator picked up my call, I asked to be connected to Room 350. The Operator stated it would be no problem, but before she did so, if I could please provide the guest's name. I provided Petitioner's name, and she stated she did not have a guest associated to that room with that name. I told the Operator if I could please spell Petitioner's name out to be sure, and proceeded to do so; I spelled out Petitioner's first name, as well as two variations of her last name (Parvis and Parviz). I could hear the Operator typing on her keyboard and she had eventually replied that they had no guest under either name. I thanked the Operator for her time and hung up the call.
5. On August 7, 2026, I had arranged personal service of Respondent's Ex Parte Application to Petitioner at the Fairmont Hotel in abundance of caution; Please see the attached Declaration of Diligence from the process server.

Dated: August 10, 2026

/s/ Olivia Torres Castillo

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page 1 of 1
(Add pages as required)

Asap Legal
255 N Market Street, Suite 180 San Jose, CA 95110
Phone: (408) 564-7360 ~ Fax:

DOCUMENT LIST

Request to Change or End Restraining Order (DV-300); RESPONDENT'S
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE
APPLICATION TO RECALL AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER;
REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT'S EX PARTE
APPLICATION TO RECALL AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER;
DECLARATION OF ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE
APPLICATION TO RECALL AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER;
NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

DV-300**Request to Change or End
Restraining Order****Instructions**

Use this form to ask a judge to change or end a domestic violence restraining order (form DV-130) that is still in effect (not expired). You can also use this form to ask to change or end a juvenile restraining order (form JV-255) based on domestic violence, if the juvenile case has been closed. For more information on this process, read form DV-300-INFO, *How Do I Ask to Change or End a Domestic Violence Restraining Order?* Do not use this form to ask to change or end orders made in a separate family law case (a case with a different case number than your restraining order). For more information, read form FL-300-INFO, *Information Sheet for Request for Order*.

1 Your Informationa. Name: Andrew G. Watters

b. Who are you in this case? (Check one):

☐ Protected person ☒ Restrained person

c. Is this your first request to change or end the restraining order?

☒ Yes ☐ No (How many times have you made a request?): _____d. **!** Address where you can receive court papers

(This address will be used by the court and the other party to send you official court dates, orders, and papers. You may use another address like a post office box, a Safe at Home address, or another person's address, if you have their permission and can get your mail regularly. If you have a lawyer, give their information.)

Address: 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Ste. 135City: Redwood City State: CA Zip: 94065e. **!** Your contact information (optional)

(The court could use this information to contact you. If you don't want the other party to have this information, leave it blank or provide a safe phone number or email address. If you have a lawyer, give their information.)

Telephone: (650)542-0057 Email Address: andrew@watters.law Fax: _____

f. Your lawyer's information (if you have one)

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

2 Information About Your Casea. The other party in this case is (full name): Mahsa Parvisb. The current order expires on (date): 08/26/2026

(Attach a copy of the current restraining order (form DV-130, DV-330, DV-730, or JV-255).)

This is not a Court Order.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 10 2026

Clerk of the Superior Court
By Angela Flores
Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County ofSan Mateo
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

Fill in case number:

Case Number:

26-FAM-01592

08/10/2026

3 Request

- a. ☒ I ask the judge to end all the orders granted in the restraining order (form DV-130, DV-330, or JV-255).
- b. ☐ I ask the judge to change or end some of the orders in the restraining order (form DV-130, DV-330, or JV-255).

(If you checked b, complete section below)

(1) Describe the changes that you want the judge to make to the restraining order

(For example, you can identify the order by name (stay-away order) and say "I ask for the stay-away order to be changed to ...")

I respectfully request that the restraining order be dissolved, as there has been no contact with the
protected party for the past five years.

(2) Do you want the judge to change orders for child custody or visitation?

- ☒ Does not apply to my case. I do not have a child with the other party in this case.
- ☐ No.
- ☐ Yes. (If yes, you must complete form DV-305 and attach it to this form.)

This is not a Court Order.



- 3 b. (3) Do you want to add people to, or remove people from, the restraining order?
(listed on form DV-130, item 3, or JV-255, item 3)

- ☒ No
☐ Yes (complete section below)

Full name	Age	Relationship to you	Lives with you?	Request to:
			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Add ☐ Remove
			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Add ☐ Remove
			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Add ☐ Remove
			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Add ☐ Remove

- ☐ Check this box if you need to list more people. Use a separate piece of paper and write "DV-300, Other Protected people" at the top. Turn it in with this form.

Explain why the people listed above should be added or removed.

- (4) Do you want the judge to change the restraining order immediately?

(Usually, a judge makes a decision at a court hearing, when both sides have a chance to speak and give evidence. In some situations, a judge may make orders immediately (1) if you are the protected party and temporary orders are needed for more protection, (2) to prevent immediate harm to a child in this case, or (3) if there is an immediate risk that a child in this case will be taken out of California. If you are the restrained party, the judge cannot end or change the restraining order before the protected party has been properly served with this request and there has been a court hearing on your request.)

- ☐ No.
☒ Yes. (If yes, complete section below.)

Describe the orders you are asking the judge to make immediately.

Dissolve TRO in its entirety.

Explain why you need orders immediately.

See accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Request for Judicial Notice, and Declaration of Andrew

G. Watters.

This is not a Court Order.



4 Reason for Request

In this section, explain why you are asking the judge to change or end the orders.

~~During the past few years, Mahsa Parvis was incarcerated in federal prison following convictions for aggravated identity theft and passport fraud arising from her attempt to remove her daughter from the country after the permanent termination of her parental rights and the issuance of a restraining order. The protected party has a documented history of filing frivolous complaints (see Request for Judicial Notice).~~ Additionally, there has been no contact with any of the other protected parties, including Ms. Parvis's parents and daughter. Several of the incidents of alleged "abuse" could not have occurred because Ms. Parvis was incarcerated in federal prison during the relevant time periods. Further, my personal blog post regarding Ms. Parvis reflects my own experiences and constitutes protected speech under the First Amendment. Furthermore, there is no actual evidence that I have contacted Ms. Parvis at any point during the past five years. The only allegation is that a "sound" played on her iPhone, which cannot reasonably be attributed to any attempt by me to track or contact her.

☐ Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Attach a sheet of paper and write "Attachment 4, Reasons for Request" for a title.

5 ☐ Extend My Deadline to Give Notice to the Other Party

(Usually, the judge will give you about three weeks to serve the other party with your request. If you need more time to serve the other party, the judge may be able to give you more time.)

I ask the judge to give me more time to serve the other party because *(explain why you need more time)*:

6 ☒ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

I ask that the other party pay for some or all of my lawyer's fees and costs.

7 Additional Pages

a. How many additional pages are you attaching to this five-page form? _____

b. Which forms are you attaching to this order? *(Check at least one)*:

☒ DV-130 ☐ DV-330 ☐ DV-730 ☐ JV-255 ☐ Other: _____

This is not a Court Order.


8 Your Signature

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above is true and correct.

Date: August 7, 2026

Andrew G. Watters

Type or print your name

▶ 
Sign your name

9 Your Lawyer's Signature (if you have one)

Date: _____

Lawyer's name

▶ _____
Lawyer's signature

Your Next Steps

- After you complete this form, complete items 1 and 2 of form DV-310, Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order to Change or End Restraining Order.
- File this form and form DV-310 with the court clerk. **You must do this before your restraining order expires.**
- Once you get your forms back from the court, follow the judge's orders on how to serve the other side (look at form DV-310, item 5). Have an adult (not you or anyone protected by the restraining order) serve the other side with a copy of your forms. You can also ask the sheriff to personally serve the papers, and they will do this for free. See form SER-001, *Request for Sheriff to Serve Court Papers*. Learn more about service at selfhelp.courts.ca.gov/DV-restraining-order/change-end/serve-request.
- After the other side has been served, have the person who served your papers complete a form and file the completed form with the court:
 - If the papers were personally served, have your server complete form DV-200, Proof of Personal Service.
 - If the papers were served by mail, have your server complete form DV-250, Proof of Service by Mail.
- If you are asking to change child support or spousal support, you must also complete form FL-150, Income and Expense Declaration. If you are only asking for child support, you may be eligible to fill out a simpler form, FL-155, Financial Statement (Simplified). Read form DV-570 to see if you are eligible. Before your court date, turn in your completed form to the court and serve a copy on the other party.


This is not a Court Order.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Andrew G. Watters
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Ste. 135
Redwood City, CA 94065
(650) 542-0057,800
andrew@watters.law
Respondent (pro per)

FILED
SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 10 2026

Clerk of the Superior Court
By  Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

MAHSA PARVIS,

Petitioner,

vs.

ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS,

Respondent.

Case No.: 26FAM01592

RESPONDENT'S MEMORANDUM OF
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT
OF EX PARTE APPLICATION TO RECALL
AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	3
I. INTRODUCTION	4
II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND	7
A. The Parties Brief Relationship Ended in 2021	7
B. Petitioner Previously Sought Restraining-Order Relief Against Respondent	8
C. Prior Federal Litigation Between the Parties	9
D. Petitioner's Federal Convictions and Recent Release	10
E. Petitioner Filed the Present DV-100	11
III. LEGAL STANDARD	12
IV. ARGUMENT	14
A. The Apple Notification Does Not Provide Reasonable Proof That Respondent Accessed or Tracked Petitioner	14
B. The Petitioner Submitted No Evidence of a July 27, 2026 Retaliatory Publication	15
C. The Judge Cadet Webpage Does Not Mention Petitioner or Constitute Abuse Against Her	16
D. Petitioner Materially Misrepresented the Prior 2023 Restraining-Order Proceeding	17
E. Petitioner's Supporting Declarations Do Not Establish Recent Abuse	18
F. There Is No Factual Basis for Protecting Petitioner's Parents, Husband, or Daughter from Respondent	18
G. Petitioner's Firearm Allegations Are Factually Incorrect and Do Not Establish Abuse	19
H. Petitioner's Convictions Bear on the Credibility of Her Unsupported Allegations	20
I. Immediate Dissolution Is Necessary	21
V. CONCLUSION	22

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Curcio v. Pels (2020) 47 Cal.App.5th 1.....13, 17, 21
In re Marriage of Davila & Mejia (2018) 29 Cal.App.5th 220.....13
In re Marriage of Evilsizor & Sweeney (2015) 237 Cal.App.4th 14166
In re Marriage of Nadkarni (2009) 173 Cal.App.4th 1483 12-13
United States v. Parviz (9th Cir. 2025) 131 F.4th 966.....11, 20

STATUTES

California Evidence Code § 352.....21
California Evidence Code § 788.....21
California Family Code § 6203, subd. (a).....12
California Family Code § 6300, subd. (a).....12
California Family Code § 6301, subd. (d)13
California Family Code § 6320, subds. (a), (c).....12, 19
18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1).....11
18 U.S.C. § 1542.....11

LOCAL RULES

San Mateo County Superior Court Local Rule 5.6(H)13

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 Petitioner Mahsa Parvis, also known as Mahsa Parviz ("Petitioner") was in a
3 month-long relationship with Respondent in May 2021, *over five years ago*. The relationship
4 was entirely based on false pretenses represented by Petitioner, such as that she was a
5 M.D./Ph.D. graduate of Harvard and a successful medical professional who had just moved to
6 the Bay Area, none of which was true. The parties' last in-person contact was in May 2021,
7 which is when Respondent ended the relationship due to Petitioner's bizarre, erratic behavior that
8 was centered around an obsessive crusade to retrieve her daughter from court-ordered foster care.
9 Petitioner was then arrested on Federal charges in June 2021 for conduct that pre-dated the
10 relationship (Respondent's Request for Judicial Notice ("RJN"), Exhs. 1-3.), was convicted in
11 December 2021 (RJN, Exh. 8.), and spent the next several years in prison, being released in early
12 2026 (RJN, Exh. 10.). While in prison, Petitioner previously sought domestic violence
13 restraining-order relief against Respondent in 2023 in Case No. 23FAM01495 in this Court. No
14 restraining order was issued, and the proceeding was ultimately dismissed (RJN, Exh. 1-3.).
15 Now, without disclosing the prior case or the result, Petitioner has re-filed and obtained
16 extraordinary emergency relief based on a fabricated set of facts, speculation, a relationship that
17 ended five years ago, materially incomplete answers concerning prior litigation, and internet
18 materials that do not establish any act of abuse by Respondent Andrew G. Watters
19 ("Respondent"). This TRO is a extreme and undue burden on Respondent, who is a known
20 shooting sports enthusiast and concealed carry permit holder, and will have to turn in his
21 firearms and CCW permit over this baseless, malicious filing. This is a violation of Respondent's
22 civil rights and cannot be allowed to stand.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Petitioner identifies only two purportedly recent incidents. Neither supports the restraint
2 of Respondent, even on a temporary basis.

3 First, Petitioner claims that Respondent accessed her Apple or iCloud account and
4 attempted to track her location on July 30, 2026 and on other occasions. Her sole evidence is an
5 automated “Find My” notification stating that a sound was played on a device identified only as
6 “M.” The notification does not identify Respondent. It does not show who initiated the sound,
7 what account or device was used, whether anyone logged into Petitioner’s account, or whether
8 anyone obtained her location. Petitioner submitted no Apple records, login history, IP
9 information, authentication records, forensic analysis, or other evidence connecting Respondent
10 to the notification. Respondent has never had access to Petitioner’s electronic devices or
11 accounts, nor does he have any interest in tracking Petitioner after being happily married to
12 someone else since early 2022.

13 Second, Petitioner alleges that Respondent retaliated against her on July 27, 2026, by
14 publishing or republishing sexually explicit or defamatory internet content after members of her
15 family requested information concerning Respondent’s professional-liability insurance. This is a
16 complete fabrication/delusion. First, Petitioner does not identify the particular content allegedly
17 published on that date. She supplies no link to an allegedly newly posted video, no copy of the
18 alleged material, no before-and-after comparison, no website metadata, and no other evidence
19 showing that Respondent published anything on July 27, 2026. Respondent did not publish
20 anything on that date about Petitioner, nor does Respondent have any sexual videos of Petitioner.
21 The only thing Petitioner could possibly be referring to is a video that *Petitioner* filmed in 2021
22 of the parties having sex, which was on *Petitioner’s phone* that was later seized and erased by the
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 U.S. government as part of her prosecution. The video no longer exists and is not in
2 Respondent's possession, custody, or control.

3 Instead of attaching anything from the claimed time frame, Petitioner attached older
4 webpages. The webpage concerning Petitioner dates to March 2022, and the copy attached to her
5 request reflects a last update of February 12, 2026, more than five months before the alleged July
6 27 retaliation. The separate webpage concerning Judge Chinhayi Cadet addresses Respondent's
7 opinions regarding that judicial officer and proceedings before her, which has nothing to do with
8 Petitioner and in any event is free speech about a public figure. The attached pages do not
9 identify Petitioner, communicate with Petitioner, threaten Petitioner, or establish any act of
10 domestic violence against her. Unlike *In re Marriage of Evilsizor & Sweeney* (2015) 237
11 Cal.App.4th 1416, where the respondent actually accessed and disseminated the petitioner's
12 private electronic communications, Petitioner here presents no evidence that Respondent
13 accessed any account, obtained any private information, or disseminated any confidential
14 communication.
15

16 Petitioner also withheld material context from her petition. She did not disclose that the
17 parties' brief dating relationship ended in 2021 and that Respondent has had no actual contact
18 with her for approximately five years. She did not accurately disclose the disposition of her 2023
19 San Mateo restraining order proceeding. No restraining order was granted in that case, and the
20 proceeding was dismissed due to Petitioner's failure to prosecute. Yet Petitioner checked "Yes"
21 in response to the present DV-100's question asking whether a restraining order was currently in
22 effect or had expired within the prior six months and identified July 6, 2023 as the date of the
23 supposed order. There was no order, and further, the conduct claimed in the prior case was all
24 addressed by Respondent and is now beyond any statute of limitations.
25
26
27
28

1 Petitioner further sought protection for her parents, husband, and daughter (the daughter
2 who was taken away from her in Texas) without identifying any recent contact, threat,
3 communication, approach, or other act directed toward any of them. Respondent has never met
4 Petitioner's parents and has never threatened, inappropriately contacted, or approached any of
5 the additional protected persons.
6

7 The Court already recognized the insufficiency of a significant portion of Petitioner's
8 presentation. Although it issued temporary personal-conduct and stay-away orders, the Court
9 declined to order the removal of the internet content, finding Petitioner's requested relief "overly
10 broad" and requiring additional information. The internet content is protected speech and cannot
11 be enjoined in this fashion. If Petitioner has a problem with the web page about her, she can file
12 a civil action for defamation (and it should be noted, she has not done so in the four years since
13 the article was published).
14

15 The remaining temporary orders should now be dissolved. Petitioner's application does
16 not provide reasonable proof that Respondent committed a recent act of abuse, and her own
17 exhibits undermine the chronology on which her request depends.
18

19 **II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

20 **A. The Parties Brief Relationship Ended in 2021**

21 Respondent and Petitioner briefly dated in April and May 2021. They were in a
22 relationship for approximately 30 days, during which Petitioner's erratic, bizarre behavior
23 resulted in the end of the relationship shortly before her arrest on Federal charges for conduct
24 preceding the relationship, on which Petitioner was later convicted after a criminal jury trial in
25 December 2021 (RJN, Exhs. 8–9.). The dating relationship ended in May 2021 and was never
26 resumed.
27
28

1 The parties never married, never became engaged, never registered as domestic partners,
2 never lived together, never had a child together, never shared property, and never maintained a
3 joint household. Contrary to Petitioner's representations, Respondent was never Petitioner's
4 attorney—helping a girlfriend out with legal matters does not qualify, and this issue was
5 previously closed out by the State Bar in Respondent's favor—a fact also omitted from
6 Petitioner's petition, though it was addressed *ad nauseam* in the prior DVRO proceeding. The
7 parties have no ongoing domestic, family, financial, or personal relationship. Nor has
8 Respondent contacted or attempted to contact Petitioner during that time, with the sole exception
9 that Respondent filed a civil lawsuit against Petitioner in 2023 seeking to enjoin her from making
10 false, fraudulent Domestic Violence filings after she originally threatened to do so in 2023
11 (discussed below). Respondent has no interest in contacting Petitioner or knowing anything
12 further about her, as he seeks to avoid her due to her multiple mental illnesses, criminal history,
13 obsessive crusade at regaining custody of her daughter, and similar issues.
14

15 As set forth in Respondent's declaration, Respondent has not had any direct personal
16 contact with Petitioner for approximately five years. He has not attempted to resume their former
17 relationship, has not appeared at her claimed home or workplace, has not followed her, has not
18 approached her, nor has attempted to determine her location.
19

20 Petitioner's DV-100 merely checks the generic box stating that the parties "are dating or
21 used to date." It does not disclose that their relationship ended over five years before she filed the
22 current request and the current request is three years after her last, dismissed request (RJN, Exhs.
23 1–3.).
24
25
26
27
28

1 **B. Petitioner Previously Sought Restraining-Order Relief Against Respondent**

2 In 2023, Petitioner commenced a prior domestic violence proceeding against Respondent
3 in San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. 23FAM01495 (RJN, Exhs. 1–3.).

4 That proceeding involved the same parties, the same internet webpage, and substantially
5 the same historical controversy Petitioner again presents in this case (RJN, Exhs. 2.). As
6 demonstrated by the judicial records submitted with Respondent’s Request for Judicial Notice,
7 no temporary or final restraining order was granted against Respondent. The case was dismissed
8 on August 9, 2023, and no restraining order was ever in effect (RJN, Exhs. 1, 3.). It should be
9 noted that Petitioner tried to re-file under the old case number twice in 2023, and the filings were
10 rejected (RJN, Exh. 1.). Petitioner has now spelled her name differently (Compare RJN, Exhs. 1–
11 3 [“Parviz”] with RJN, Exhs. 4–5 [“Parvis”].), presumably to prevent the court from pulling up
12 the old case under a name search. Respondent is filing a Notice of Related Case with this filing.
13

14 Petitioner nevertheless answered “Yes” to item 4(a) of her present DV-100, which asks
15 whether a restraining order is currently in place or expired within the preceding six months. She
16 identified July 6, 2023 as the date of the supposed restraining order. A request for a restraining
17 order that was denied is not itself a restraining order, which makes this a false declaration.
18

19 Petitioner also omitted the prior case number, the fact that no restraining order was
20 entered, and the case’s ultimate dismissal. This combined with everything else is fraud upon the
21 court from someone who is obviously a vexatious litigant.
22

23 **C. Prior Federal Litigation Between the Parties**

24 In 2023, Respondent filed an action against Petitioner in the United States District Court
25 for the Western District of Washington, *Watters v. Parviz*, Case No. 2:23-cv-00755-RSL. (RJN,
26 Exhs. 6–7.) Respondent sought temporary injunctive relief in that proceeding, which the district
27
28

1 court denied. The district court ultimately dismissed Respondent's action (RJN, Exh. 7.), and the
2 Ninth Circuit affirmed in Case No. 23-35601. The case centered around Petitioner's then-threat
3 to file false Domestic Violence proceedings in San Mateo County in order to trash Respondent's
4 reputation with the local legal community, which she has now done twice. Petitioner expressly
5 demanded the deletion of her Hall of Shame web page, or else she would file the false DV
6 proceedings. When Respondent refused to submit to this blackmail, Petitioner filed her original
7 DVRO petition in 2023. It should be noted that the prior TRO in 2023 was denied, which makes
8 the current partial grant very unfortunate.
9

10 The rulings in the Federal action did not find that Respondent committed domestic
11 violence, accessed Petitioner's Apple account, tracked her location, threatened her, or committed
12 any of the conduct alleged to have occurred in July 2026. The Ninth Circuit's disposition
13 concerned the sufficiency of Respondent's federal pleading and federal subject-matter
14 jurisdiction, which was found to be absent. In other words, there was no adjudication on the
15 merits.
16

17 Petitioner nevertheless attached only the one-page federal judgment of dismissal to her
18 current request. She did not explain the issues adjudicated, the basis for the dismissal, or the
19 absence of any domestic-violence finding. She also answered "No" when asked whether she was
20 involved in another court case with Respondent, while simultaneously relying upon documents
21 from prior litigation between them.
22

23 At a minimum, Petitioner's disclosure was materially incomplete and deprived the Court
24 of the context necessary to evaluate the significance of the attached judgment.
25

26 **D. Petitioner's Federal Convictions and Recent Release**
27
28

1 Petitioner was convicted in the United States District Court for the Central District of
2 California of making a false statement in a passport application, in violation of title 18 United
3 States Code section 1542, and aggravated identity theft, in violation of title 18 United States
4 Code section 1028A(a)(1). (RJN, Exh. 8; *United States v. Parviz* (9th Cir. 2025) 131 F.4th 966.)

5
6 The convictions arose from Petitioner's use of false statements and a fraudulent medical
7 letter in an effort to obtain a passport for her biological daughter after her parental rights had
8 been terminated. The Ninth Circuit affirmed both convictions and Petitioner's sentence in *United*
9 *States v. Parviz* (9th Cir. 2025) 131 F.4th 966, though Petitioner continues to aggressively
10 litigate all possible bases to challenge her conviction, stubbornly refusing to take responsibility
11 for her actions in attempting to kidnap her estranged daughter to Iran.

12
13 Official records further reflect that Petitioner was released from federal custody on or
14 about February 27, 2026 (RJN, Exh. 10.), and is subject to a term of supervised release.
15 Respondent offers these records for the limited purposes of establishing the complete procedural
16 chronology and evaluating Petitioner's credibility, not to suggest that a criminal conviction alone
17 resolves the present request. However, it should be noted that Petitioner admits to several
18 serious mental illnesses, including Borderline Personality Disorder, Bipolar Disorder, and others
19 in her various Federal filings including her own psychologist's pre-sentencing report.
20

21 **E. Petitioner Filed the Present DV-100**

22 On August 3, 2026, Petitioner filed the present DV-100. She requested no-abuse, no-
23 contact, 100-yard stay-away, firearms turn-in, recording, internet-content-removal, and related
24 orders. She also requested protection for her mother, father, daughter, and claimed husband.
25
26
27
28

1 Petitioner identified July 30, 2026 as the date of the most recent alleged abuse. She
2 alleged that an automated Apple notification meant that Respondent had accessed her account
3 and attempted to track her location.

4 Petitioner separately alleged that on July 27, 2026, sexually explicit or defamatory
5 material appeared online after members of her family requested information concerning
6 Respondent's professional-liability insurance.

7 On August 4, 2026, the Court issued temporary no-abuse, no-contact, stay-away,
8 firearms, and related orders. It set a firearms-compliance hearing for August 24, 2026, and a
9 hearing on the DVRO request for August 26, 2026. The Court did not grant Petitioner's
10 requested website-removal order, finding it overly broad and requiring additional information.

11 **III. LEGAL STANDARD**

12 The Domestic Violence Prevention Act ("DVPA") authorizes a court to issue a protective
13 order upon "reasonable proof of a past act or acts of abuse." (Fam. Code, § 6300, subd. (a).)
14 "Abuse" includes intentionally or recklessly causing or attempting to cause bodily injury, sexual
15 assault, placing a person in reasonable apprehension of imminent serious bodily injury, or
16 engaging in conduct that may be enjoined under Family Code section 6320. (Fam. Code, § 6203,
17 subd. (a).) Section 6320 permits the Court to enjoin conduct including stalking, threatening,
18 harassing, contacting, and "disturbing the peace of the other party." (Fam. Code, § 6320, subd.
19 (a).)

20 "Disturbing the peace" means conduct that, under the totality of the circumstances,
21 destroys the mental or emotional calm of the other party. (Fam. Code, § 6320, subd. (c); *In re*
22 *Marriage of Nadkarni* (2009) 173 Cal.App.4th 1483, 1497) Electronic conduct may constitute
23 abuse where the evidence establishes that a respondent actually accessed, read, disclosed,
24
25
26
27
28

1 monitored, or otherwise misused a petitioner's private electronic information. (*Nadkarni*, supra,
2 at pp. 1497-1499.) In *Nadkarni*, for example, the allegations were sufficient because the
3 respondent allegedly accessed, read, and publicly disclosed the petitioner's confidential emails.
4

5 But the DVPA does not authorize a restraining order merely because challenged conduct
6 is upsetting or objectionable. In *Curcio v. Pels* (2020) 47 Cal.App.5th 1 the Court of Appeal
7 reversed a DVRO where a former partner's single private Facebook post did not constitute
8 sufficient evidence that she disturbed the petitioner's peace. (Id. at pp. 14-16.) The court
9 distinguished cases such as *Nadkarni*, where the restrained party actually accessed and
10 disseminated confidential communications, and emphasized that there was no evidence in *Curcio*
11 of unwanted communications, disclosure of private information, or comparable conduct.
12

13 The burden remains on the party seeking protection to establish abuse. The issuance of a
14 temporary restraining order does not create a presumption that the allegations are true or shift the
15 burden to the restrained party to disprove them. (*Curcio*, supra, 47 Cal.App.5th at pp. 17-19.)
16 Rather, the petitioner bears the burden of establishing past abuse by a preponderance of the
17 evidence. (*In re Marriage of Davila & Mejia* (2018) 29 Cal.App.5th 220, 226; *Curcio*, supra, at
18 pp. 17-18.)
19

20 Although the age of an alleged act of abuse does not, standing alone, preclude relief, the
21 Court must consider the totality of the circumstances. (Fam. Code, § 6301, subd. (d).) Thus, the
22 approximately five-year absence of contact between these parties is not independently
23 dispositive, but it is properly considered together with the nature, timing, and evidentiary support
24 for Petitioner's claimed recent acts.
25

26 Finally, San Mateo County Superior Court Local Rule 5.6(H) expressly authorizes a
27 responding party to request that an ex parte order be set aside before the noticed hearing. Where
28

1 such a request is made, notice must be provided to the moving party, and the Court may set aside
2 the order or, upon a proper showing, modify the order or set an earlier hearing in lieu of setting it
3 aside. (San Mateo County Super. Ct. Local Rules, rule 5.6(H).) The same local rule provides that
4 ex parte applications are strongly disfavored and are granted only upon a substantial showing of
5 need. Against that standard, the temporary order should not remain in place where Petitioner's
6 asserted recent acts of abuse are unsupported by competent evidence and her own exhibits
7 undermine the factual basis for emergency relief.
8

9 **IV. ARGUMENT**

10 **A. The Apple Notification Does Not Provide Reasonable Proof That Respondent** 11 **Accessed or Tracked Petitioner**

12
13 Petitioner's alleged "most recent abuse" depends entirely on an automated Apple
14 notification stating that a sound was played on a device identified as "M" on July 30, 2026.

15 The notification does not identify Respondent. It does not identify:

- 16 1. The person who initiated the sound;
- 17 2. The Apple account used to initiate it;
- 18 3. The device from which it was initiated;
- 19 4. An IP address associated with the request;
- 20 5. Any login by Respondent;
- 21 6. Any authentication associated with Respondent;
- 22 7. Any geographic information obtained by Respondent; or
- 23 8. Any communication from Respondent.

24 Petitioner submitted no declaration from Apple, no account-access history, no device
25 records, no forensic analysis, and no other competent evidence tying Respondent to the
26 notification.
27
28

1 Respondent declares unequivocally that he did not access Petitioner's Apple or iCloud
2 account, did not cause a sound to be played on her device, and did not attempt to determine her
3 location. He does not possess and has never had her password, authentication codes, device
4 passcodes, or other account credentials. Respondent has never had access and does not now have
5 access to any iCloud or Apple accounts of Petitioner, nor does he have any interest in doing so
6 after ending the relationship with Petitioner in May 2021 and being happily married to someone
7 else since early 2022, including having two children since then.
8

9 Petitioner's theory requires the Court to assume that Respondent retained access to an
10 account for approximately five years, remained logged into the account, initiated the sound, and
11 did so for the purpose of tracking Petitioner. The Apple notification proves none of those
12 propositions.
13

14 An unsupported inference layered upon an unattributed automated message is not
15 reasonable proof that Respondent committed an act of abuse.
16

17 **B. The Petitioner Submitted No Evidence of a July 27, 2026 Retaliatory Publication**

18 Petitioner also alleges that sexually explicit or defamatory material appeared online after
19 members of her family requested Respondent's professional-liability insurance information on
20 July 27, 2026.
21

22 However, Petitioner does not identify the particular content allegedly posted on that date.

23 She supplies:

- 24 1. No link to an allegedly newly posted video;
- 25 2. No copy of any alleged video or image;
- 26 3. No identification of the particular statement allegedly added;
- 27 4. No screenshot showing that the content first appeared on July 27;
- 28 5. No before-and-after comparison of the webpage;

- 1 6. No publication metadata;
- 2 7. No website audit or access log;
- 3 8. No archived version of the webpage; and
- 4 9. No evidence showing that Respondent published or republished anything in
- 5 response to the insurance request.

6 The webpage concerning Petitioner is dated March 11, 2022. The version attached to
7 Petitioner's own filing reflects a last update of February 12, 2026, more than five months before
8 the alleged July 27 incident.

9 Respondent denies creating, uploading, publishing, republishing, or materially modifying
10 the webpage on July 27, 2026 or otherwise publishing the claimed content. He further denies
11 posting any material in retaliation for a request concerning insurance information. In any case,
12 there was no contact to his law firm for the requested information in the time frame specified,
13 which Respondent can be sure of because he has blocked the entire Parviz family from emailing
14 due to their own harassing behavior (they can still call the office, however—but have not done
15 so). Respondent denies having any explicit video of Petitioner—Petitioner, however, did have
16 one explicit video of the parties in 2021, and that was on a device that was later wiped by the
17 Federal government. There is no video, nor has Respondent ever threatened to release or actually
18 released a video or anything else improper. Petitioner points to no such threat anywhere.

19 Petitioner's evidence therefore fails to establish either the alleged act or its supposed
20 connection to Respondent.

21 **C. The Judge Cadet Webpage Does Not Mention Petitioner or Constitute Abuse**
22 **Against Her**

23 Petitioner also relies on a separate webpage concerning Judge Chinhayi Cadet.
24
25
26
27
28

1 As the Court can determine from the pages attached to Petitioner's own request, that
2 posting concerns Respondent's opinions regarding Judge Cadet and proceedings before that
3 judicial officer. The displayed pages discuss Judge Cadet, other attorneys, other litigants, and
4 other family-law proceedings, which have nothing to do with Petitioner.
5

6 The attached pages do not identify Petitioner. They do not communicate with Petitioner,
7 direct any statement to Petitioner, threaten Petitioner, disclose a private communication from
8 Petitioner, or encourage anyone to contact her.
9

10 Respondent stands by his posting concerning Judge Cadet, who is a public figure and
11 deserves criticism in the court of public opinion. Whether Petitioner or her witnesses disagree
12 with the posting is not evidence that Respondent committed domestic violence against Petitioner.
13

14 The DVPA does not provide a vehicle for restraining speech merely because a former
15 dating partner disagrees with or is upset by commentary concerning an unrelated third person.
16 (*Curcio v. Pels*, 47 Cal.App.5th 1 (2020))

17 **D. Petitioner Materially Misrepresented the Prior 2023 Restraining-Order Proceeding**

18 Item 4(a) of the present DV-100 asks whether any restraining order is currently in effect
19 or expired within the preceding six months.

20 Petitioner checked "Yes" and entered July 6, 2023 as the date of the purported restraining
21 order. She filed her present request on August 3, 2026, more than three years later.
22

23 More importantly, the judicially noticeable records demonstrate that no temporary or
24 final restraining order was granted in the 2023 proceeding. Petitioner's request was denied, and
25 the case was dismissed. (RJN, Exhs. 1-3.)
26
27
28

1 A denied request for a restraining order is not itself a restraining order. Petitioner's
2 answer affirmatively declares that an order had existed when the records show that none was
3 entered.

4
5 Petitioner also omitted the prior case number, the denial of relief, the dismissal, and the
6 fact that the earlier proceeding involved the same internet webpage and underlying controversy.
7 (RJN, Exh. 2.)

8 Those omissions were material. The incomplete answer prevented the Court from
9 evaluating the present ex parte request against the backdrop of Petitioner's prior unsuccessful
10 effort to obtain restraining-order relief arising from substantially the same dispute. This
11 demonstrates that the filing by Petitioner is a complete fraud on the court and was solely filed to
12 harass and intimidate Respondent into removing his Hall of Shame web page about Petitioner.
13

14 **E. Petitioner's Supporting Declarations Do Not Establish Recent Abuse**

15 Petitioner submitted declarations from Sophia Sobhani and Shamsi Parviz. Neither
16 declarant claims to have personally observed
17

18 **Respondent:**

- 19 1. Access Petitioner's Apple or iCloud account;
 - 20 2. Cause a sound to be played on Petitioner's device;
 - 21 3. Obtain Petitioner's location;
 - 22 4. Follow or approach Petitioner;
 - 23 5. Threaten Petitioner in July 2026;
 - 24 6. Publish sexually explicit material on July 27, 2026; or
 - 25 7. Contact or threaten any additional protected person.
- 26
27
28

1 The declarations instead repeat statements allegedly made by Petitioner, discuss historical
2 disputes, express opinions concerning Respondent, and speculate about electronic access and
3 online publication.

4 The declarants' purported concern for Petitioner does not supply the missing evidentiary
5 connection between Respondent and either alleged July 2026 incident.

6
7 **F. There Is No Factual Basis for Protecting Petitioner's Parents, Husband, or**
8 **Daughter from Respondent**

9 Petitioner obtained temporary protection for her mother, father, daughter, and husband.
10 Her request identifies no recent communication, threat, physical approach, surveillance, contact,
11 or other act directed toward any of those people.

12 Respondent declares that:

- 13 1. He has never met Petitioner's mother, Shamsi Parviz;
- 14 2. He has never met Petitioner's father, Bahman Parviz;
- 15 3. He has never contacted, threatened, or approached either parent, except to respond
16 to their email correspondence to him, which was entirely appropriate;
- 17 4. He has never contacted, threatened, or approached Petitioner's claimed husband,
18 Blake Maso;
- 19 5. He has never contacted or approached Petitioner's daughter who is in foster care
20 (and was taken away from Petitioner for various prior felonies); and
- 21 6. He does not know the present locations, workplaces, schools, or daily activities of
22 the additional protected persons.

23 The declarations express fear of Respondent but do not identify conduct establishing that
24 any additional protected person requires emergency protection from him.

25 Family Code section 6320 permits protection of additional family or household members
26 only "on a showing of good cause." (Fam. Code, § 6320, subd. (a).) Where Petitioner identifies
27
28

1 no threat, contact, approach, surveillance, or other conduct directed toward any of these
2 additional persons, the record does not establish good cause for extending emergency protection
3 to them.
4

5 **G. Petitioner's Firearm Allegations Are Factually Incorrect and Do Not Establish**
6 **Abuse**

7 Respondent does not own a Smith and Wesson revolver as claimed by Petitioner, nor did
8 he ever show any firearms to Petitioner during the brief month-long relationship, or otherwise—
9 early on in the relationship, Petitioner presented as not mentally stable, and certainly was not
10 responsible enough to handle firearms safely. Respondent is a known shooting sports enthusiast
11 who has several other firearms, and this is also known to Petitioner. Petitioner is using the threat
12 of removal of a modest gun collection to pressure Respondent into settling, and this is improper
13 as well as a violation of Respondent's civil rights.
14
15

16 **H. Petitioner's Convictions Bear on the Credibility of Her Unsupported Allegations**

17 Petitioner's present request depends almost entirely on her credibility. No objective
18 evidence connects Respondent to the Apple notification, and no objective evidence establishes a
19 July 27, 2026 retaliatory publication.
20

21 The judicially noticeable records establish that Petitioner was most recently convicted of
22 making a false statement in a passport application and aggravated identity theft. The Ninth
23 Circuit affirmed those convictions in *United States v. Parviz* (9th Cir. 2025) 131 F.4th 966.
24 (RJN, Exh. 8; *United States v. Parviz* (9th Cir. 2025) 131 F.4th 966.)
25

26 The Ninth Circuit's opinion explains that Petitioner made false representations
27 concerning her parental status and submitted a fraudulent medical letter bearing another person's
28 identifying information. Petitioner has an extensive history of other crimes, for which she spent

1 several years in a Texas prison. This was all denied by Petitioner in the early stage of the
2 relationship with Respondent and was only fully discovered by Respondent in 2022 when he
3 discovered that the Harvard persona was a complete fabrication and that Petitioner was an
4 impostor who never went to the actual Harvard. Like essentially any member of the public,
5 Petitioner was able to attend Harvard Extension, which is a different program that does not
6 terminate in a Harvard degree. Harvard Extension gave Petitioner access to the Harvard web
7 server, and it was the fake Harvard web pages that Petitioner posted (since deleted by Harvard)
8 that gave Petitioner documentary support for her claims and that made the difference for
9 Respondent in deciding to continue dating her for the brief relationship-fully believing her false
10 representations at the time. This pattern of fraud and deceit shows that Petitioner is not credible,
11 and this Court has a duty to avoid imposing draconian results on Respondent based on
12 Petitioner's entirely and demonstrably false pretenses.

13
14 Respondent does not offer these convictions as evidence that Petitioner acted in
15 conformity with criminal conduct. He offers them for the limited purpose of evaluating
16 credibility where Petitioner seeks extraordinary emergency relief based upon unsupported
17 assertions and inferences. (Evid. Code, §§ 788, 352.)

20 **I. Immediate Dissolution Is Necessary**

21 The temporary order places Respondent in CLETS, exposes him to arrest and criminal
22 liability for an alleged violation, restricts his communications and movement, prohibits firearm
23 possession, and requires him to remain 100 yards away from multiple people and locations. It
24 also requires Respondent to attend a firearms-compliance hearing on August 24, 2026. This is a
25 drastic remedy with no recourse and is a severe burden on Respondent, particularly in the area of
26 storing his firearms and turning in his CCW permit for the duration of the case.
27
28

1 A domestic violence restraining order is no ordinary injunction. Its violation can carry
2 criminal consequences, and the existence of the order can impose significant professional and
3 reputational harm. (*Curcio v. Pels*, 47 Cal.App.5th 1 (2020))

4 Those burdens should not remain in place based on an Apple notification that does not
5 identify Respondent, an internet-publication chronology contradicted by Petitioner's exhibits,
6 stale disputes from a relationship that ended approximately five years ago, and third-party
7 declarations that establish no recent conduct based on personal knowledge. This TRO is unfair
8 and a huge burden on Respondent, and must be recalled and dissolved for the reasons indicated,
9 and in the interests of justice, before Petitioner again misuses the court system to pressure
10 Respondent into removing his entirely fair and accurate online page about Petitioner, which is
11 her sole real goal here.

14 **V. Conclusion**

15 For the foregoing reasons, Respondent respectfully requests that the Court immediately
16 recall, set aside, and dissolve the Temporary Restraining Order issued on August 4, 2026, in its
17 entirety, vacate the firearms-compliance hearing presently scheduled for August 24, 2026, and
18 direct that CLETS and any other applicable law-enforcement records be updated accordingly.
19

21 Dated: August 7, 2026


22 *electronic*
signature
23 *Andrew G. Watters*
24 Andrew G. Watters
25 In Pro Per
26
27
28

1 Andrew G. Watters
2 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Ste. 135
3 Redwood City, CA 94065
4 (650)542-0057, x800
5 andrew@watters.law

6 In Pro Per

FILED
SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 10 2026

By 
Deputy Clerk

7
8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
10

11
12 MAHSA PARVIS,
13 Petitioner,

14 vs.

15 ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS,
16 Respondent.

Case No.: 26FAM01592

DECLARATION OF ANDREW GEORGE
WATTERS IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE
APPLICATION TO RECALL AND
DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION TO
RECALL AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER - 1

REC-2026-0810

1 I, Andrew G. Watters, declare as follows:

- 2
3 1. I am the Respondent in this action. I am over the age of eighteen and have personal
4 knowledge of the matters stated herein. If called as a witness, I could and would testify
5 competently thereto.
- 6
7 2. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California. I currently reside in
8 California and maintain my law office in Redwood City.
- 9
10 3. I submit this declaration in support of my Ex Parte Application requesting that the
11 Temporary Restraining Order entered on August 4, 2026, be recalled and dissolved
12 because the allegations supporting the order are false, unsupported by evidence, and omit
13 material facts that are necessary for the Court to fairly evaluate Petitioner's application.
- 14
15 4. Petitioner and I briefly dated for approximately one month during April and May of
16 2021. We never married, never became engaged, never lived together, and never had
17 children together.
- 18
19 5. During our brief relationship, Petitioner represented herself as a Harvard-trained
20 M.D./Ph.D. physician who had recently relocated to the Bay Area. I later learned those
21 representations were false.
- 22
23 6. During the relationship, Petitioner also exhibited increasingly erratic and concerning
24 behavior centered around her attempts to regain custody of her daughter after the
25 termination of her parental rights.
- 26
27 7. Because of Petitioner's behavior and numerous misrepresentations, I ended the
28 relationship in May 2021. The relationship has never resumed.

DECLARATION OF ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION TO
RECALL AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER - 2

- 1 8. In June 2021, Petitioner was arrested on Federal charges for conduct that pre-dated the
2 relationship. In December 2021, Petitioner was convicted and spent the next several years
3 in prison. **RJN Exh. 8 and 9.**
- 4 9. In contrast to Petitioner's petition, Respondent never acted as Petitioner's attorney in any
5 capacity. During the relationship, Respondent helped Petitioner with minor legal matters
6 as her boyfriend. This issue was also previously resolved in Respondent's favor by the
7 State Bar, a fact Petitioner failed to mention in her petition.
- 8 10. Since our relationship ended in May 2021, I have had no personal interaction with
9 Petitioner.
- 10 11. I have not attempted to reconcile with Petitioner. I have absolutely no desire to resume
11 any relationship or communication with Petitioner.
- 12 12. I have been happily married since early 2022. My wife and I now have two children. My
13 personal and family life has moved on entirely from the very brief relationship that ended
14 more than five years ago.
- 15 13. Petitioner's principal allegation concerns an Apple "Find My" notification dated July 30,
16 2026.
- 17 14. I have never had access to Petitioner's iCloud account, nor have I attempted to gain
18 access in any way. I have never logged into any Apple account belonging to Petitioner.
- 19 15. I have never attempted to determine Petitioner's location through Apple or any other
20 electronic service. I have absolutely no interest in monitoring Petitioner's whereabouts.
- 21 16. The notification attached to Petitioner's application does not identify me, does not
22 identify my account, does not identify my devices, and does not establish that I have
23 access to her account or location.
- 24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION TO
RECALL AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER - 3

1 17. Petitioner also alleges that I retaliated against her by publishing or republishing sexually
2 explicit or defamatory material on July 27, 2026. That allegation is false.

3 18. I have never possessed any sexually explicit videos of Petitioner.

4 19. During our relationship in 2021, Petitioner recorded an intimate video on her own phone.
5 That device was later seized by the federal government during Petitioner's criminal
6 prosecution.

7 20. I have never possessed that recording. I have never threatened to release any intimate
8 recording. Petitioner's allegations regarding revenge pornography are completely false.

9 21. In 2023, Petitioner previously filed a domestic violence action against me in San Mateo
10 County. No restraining order was entered against me and the proceeding was ultimately
11 dismissed.

12 22. The present action concerns substantially the same historical events. Petitioner simply
13 changed the spelling of her name in order to deceive the court into allowing the filing of
14 this frivolous petition.

15 23. Petitioner's request seeks protection not only for herself but also for members of her
16 family. I have never met Petitioner's mother or father.

17 24. I have never threatened, followed, or attempted to contact them except to respond
18 appropriately to communications they initiated.

19 25. I have never met Petitioner's alleged husband. I have never contacted or threatened him.

20 26. I have never contacted Petitioner's daughter, nor have I attempted to locate Petitioner's
21 daughter.

22 27. Petitioner alleges that I possessed or displayed a Smith & Wesson revolver during our
23 relationship. That allegation is false.

24
25
26
27
28
DECLARATION OF ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION TO
RECALL AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER - 4

1 28. I never displayed firearms to Petitioner during our brief relationship.

2 29. Although I lawfully own firearms as a shooting sports enthusiast and possess a California
3 concealed carry permit, I have always exercised responsible firearm ownership. I would
4 never permit an individual whom I believed to be emotionally unstable to handle
5 firearms.
6

7 30. The temporary restraining order requires me to surrender my lawfully owned firearms
8 and affects my concealed carry permit despite the complete lack of evidence connecting
9 me to any recent act of abuse or even contact with Petitioner.
10

11 31. There has been no direct contact between Petitioner and me for approximately five years.

12 32. The temporary restraining order places me into the CLETS system and it subjects me to
13 possible criminal penalties for any alleged violation.


14 33. It requires me to surrender lawfully owned firearms and affects my concealed carry
15 permit. It imposes substantial personal, constitutional, reputational, and professional
16 burdens.
17

18 34. I respectfully request that the Court dissolve the Temporary Restraining Order because
19 Petitioner's allegations are unsupported by competent evidence, omit material facts, and
20 are inconsistent with the parties' actual history.
21

22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
23 true and correct and that this Declaration was executed on August 7, 2026, in Redwood City,
24 CA.
25

26 *electronic signature*
Andrew G. Watters
27 Andrew G. Watters
28 In Pro Per

DECLARATION OF ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION TO
RECALL AND DISSOLVE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER - 5

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Andrew G. Watters 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 135 Redwood City, CA 94065 TELEPHONE NO.: (650) 542-0057,800 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): andrew@watters.law ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Respondent, In Pro Per	FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED SAN MATEO COUNTY AUG 11 2026  By <u> </u> Deputy Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO STREET ADDRESS: 400 County Center MAILING ADDRESS: 400 County Center CITY AND ZIP CODE: Redwood City, 94063 BRANCH NAME: Southern Court	
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: Mahsa Parvis RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: Andrew G. Watters	
PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL—CIVIL	CASE NUMBER: 26-FAM-01592

(Do not use this Proof of Service to show service of a Summons and Complaint.)

- I am over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place.
- My residence or business address is:
 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 135
 Redwood City, CA 94065
- On (date): **August 10, 2026** I mailed from (city and state): **Redwood City, CA**
 the following documents (specify):

☒ The documents are listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Service by First-Class Mail—Civil (Documents Served)* (form POS-030(D)).

- I served the documents by enclosing them in an envelope and (check one):
 - ☒ **depositing** the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid.
 - ☐ **placing** the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
- The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:
 - Name of person served: Mahsa Parvis**
 - Address of person served:**
 950 Mason Street, #350
 San Francisco, CA 94108


☐ The name and address of each person to whom I mailed the documents is listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Service by First-Class Mail—Civil (Persons Served)* (POS-030(P)).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: **August 10, 2026**

Olivia Torres Castillo

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)


 (SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

SHORT TITLE: Mahsa Parvis v. Andrew George Watters

CASE NUMBER:
26-FAM-01592

ATTACHMENT TO PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL—CIVIL (DOCUMENTS SERVED)

(This Attachment is for use with form POS-030)

The documents that were personally served by first-class mail are as follows *(describe each document specifically)*:

1) DV-300 Request to Change or End Restraining Order

2) Respondent's Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support of Ex Parte Application to Recall and Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order

3) Respondent's Request for Judicial Notice In Support of Respondent's Ex Parte Application to Recall and Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order

4) CM-015 Notice of Related Case

5) FL-8 Declaration Re Notice of Ex Parte Application for Orders

6) DV-115 Request to Continue Hearing

DV-115**Request to Continue Hearing**

Instructions: Use this form to ask the court to reschedule the court date listed on form **DV-109, Notice of Court Hearing**. Read form **DV-115-INFO, How to Ask for a New Hearing Date**, for more information.

1 My Information

a. My name is: Andrew G. Watters

b. I am the:

(1) ☐ **Protected party** (skip to **2**).

(2) ☒ **Restrained party** (give your contact information below).

Address where I can receive mail:

This address will be used by the court and other party to notify you in this case. If you want to keep your home address private, you can use another address like a post office box or another person's address, if you have their permission. If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's address and contact information.

Address: 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 135

City: Redwood City State: CA Zip: 94065

My contact information (optional):

Telephone: (650) 542-0057,800 Fax: _____

Email Address: andrew@watters.law

Lawyer's information (skip if you do not have one):

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

2 Information About My Case

a. The other party in this case is (full name): Mahsa Parvis

b. I have a court date currently scheduled for (date): August 26, 2026

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 11 2026

By [Signature]
Clerk of the Superior Court
Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
26FAM01592

This is not a Court Order.

3 Is a Temporary Restraining Order in effect?

☒ Yes. Date the order was made, if known: August 4, 2026

Please attach a copy of the order if you have one.

☐ No.

☐ I don't know.

Notice: If your court date is rescheduled, the *Temporary Restraining Order (form DV-110)* will remain in effect until the end of the new court date, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

4 Why does your court date need to be rescheduled?

a. ☐ I am the person asking for protection, and I need more time to have the restrained party personally served.

b. ☒ I am the restrained party, and this is my first request to reschedule the court date.

c. ☐ Other reason: _____

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above is true and correct.

Date: August 10, 2026

Andrew G. Watters

Type or print your name

Date: _____

Lawyer's name, if you have one

▶ *electronic signature*
Andrew G. Watters
Sign your name

▶ _____
Lawyer's signature

DV-110**Temporary Restraining Order**☒ **Original Order**☐ **Amended Order**

Instruction: The person asking for a restraining order must complete
 (1), (2), and (3) only. The court will complete the rest of this form.

(1) Protected Person (name): MAHSA PARVIS

(2) Restrained Person

*Full Name: ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS
 *Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary *Race: WHITE
 *Age: 45 (estimate, if age unknown) Date of Birth: 09/02/1980
 Height: 6'0" Weight: 190 lbs.
 Hair Color: BROWN Eye Color: BLUE
 Relationship to person in (1): Former attorney & dating relationship
 Address of restrained person: 801 N HUMBOLDT ST
 City: SAN MATEO State: CA Zip: 94401
 Firearms, firearm parts, or ammunition that restrained person may have:
 (Include information from form DV-100, item 9)
SMITH & WESSON REVOLVER
 (Information that has a star (*) next to it is required to add this
 order into a California police database. Give all the information you
 know.)

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

**Electronically
FILED**

By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo
 ON 08/04/2026

By /s/ Steward, David
 Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

**Superior Court of California, County of
SAN MATEO
500 COUNTY CENTER
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063**

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

**Case Number:
26-FAM-01592**

**Electronically
RECEIVED**

8/3/2026 4:32 PM

**CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
SAN MATEO COUNTY**

(3) ☒ Other Protected People

In addition to the person named in (1), the people listed below are protected by the orders listed in (9) through (12).

Full name	Relationship to person in (1)	Age
<u>SHAMSI PARVIZ</u>	<u>MOTHER</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>BAHMAN PARVIZ</u>	<u>FATHER</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>CLARA PARVIZ</u>	<u>DAUGHTER</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>BLAKE MASO</u>	<u>HUSBAND</u>	<u>39</u>

☐ Check here if you need to list more people. List them on a separate piece of paper, write "DV-110, Other Protected People" at the top, and attach it to this form.

(The court will complete the rest of this form)

(4) Your Hearing Date (Court Date)



This order expires at the end of the hearing listed below:

Hearing Date: 08/26/2026 Time: 8:30 a.m. ☒ a.m. ☐ p.m.

This order must be enforced throughout the United States. See page 7.

This is a Court Order.



To the Person in (2): The judge has granted temporary orders. See (5) through (21). If you do not obey these orders, you can be charged with a crime, go to jail or prison, and/or pay a fine. It is a felony to take or hide a child in violation of this order.

(5) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

- a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited item listed below in b.
- b. **Prohibited items are:**
 - (1) Firearms (guns);
 - (2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers, frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and
 - (3) Ammunition.
- c. Within 24 hours of receiving this order, you must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to law enforcement, any prohibited items you have in your immediate possession or control.
- d. If law enforcement asks you for your prohibited items, you must turn them over immediately.
- e. Within 48 hours of receiving this order, you must file a receipt with the court that proves all prohibited items have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use form DV-800/JV-270, Receipt for Firearms, Firearm Parts, and Ammunition.) If law enforcement served you with the restraining order, you must give a copy of the receipt to that law enforcement agency.

(6) ☒ Restrained person has prohibited items

The court finds that you have the following prohibited items:

a. Firearms and/or firearm parts

Description (include serial number, if known)	Location, if known	Proof of compliance received by the court
(1) Petitioner alleges Respondent has a Smith and Wesson located		☐ (date): _____
(2) in Respondent's apartment at 801 Humboldt Street, San Mateo		☐ (date): _____
(3) _____		☐ (date): _____
(4) _____		☐ (date): _____

b. Ammunition

Description	Amount, if known	Location, if known	Proof of compliance received by the court
(1) _____			☐ (date): _____
(2) _____			☐ (date): _____

This is a Court Order.



7 ☒ **Court Hearing to Review Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition Compliance**

In addition to the hearing listed on form DV-109, item **(3)**, you must attend the court hearing listed below to prove that you have properly turned in, sold, or stored all prohibited items (described in **(5)b)** you still have or own, including any items listed in **(6)**. If you do not attend the court hearing listed below, a judge may find that you have violated the restraining order and notify law enforcement and a prosecuting attorney of the violation.

Name and address of court, if different than court address listed on page 1



Date: 8/24/26

Dept.: 32

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Room: 7D

8 **No Body Armor**

You cannot own, possess, or buy body armor (defined in Penal Code section 16288). You must relinquish any body armor you have in your possession.

9 **Cannot Look for Protected People**

You must not take any action to look for any person protected by this order, including their addresses or locations.

☐ If checked, this order was not granted because the judge found good cause not to make the order.

10 **Order to Not Abuse** ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ **Granted as follows:**

You must not do the following things to the person in **(1)** and any person listed in **(3)**:

- Harass, attack, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, stalk, molest, destroy personal property, keep under surveillance, impersonate (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), block movements, annoy by phone or other electronic means (including repeatedly contact), or disturb the peace.
- "Disturb the peace" means to destroy someone's mental or emotional calm. This can be done directly or indirectly, such as through someone else. This can also be done in any way, such as by phone, over text, or online. Disturbing the peace includes coercive control.
- "Coercive control" means a number of acts that unreasonably limit the free will and individual rights of any person protected by this restraining order. Examples include isolating them from friends, relatives, or other support; keeping them from food or basic needs; controlling or keeping track of them, including their movements, contacts, actions, money, or access to services; and making them do something by force, threat, or intimidation, including threats based on actual or suspected immigration status. Coercive control includes reproductive coercion meaning controlling someone's reproductive choices, such as using force, threat, or intimidation to pressure someone to be or not be pregnant, and to control or interfere with someone's contraception, birth control, pregnancy, or access to health information.

This is a Court Order.



11 No-Contact Order ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:

- a. You must not contact ☒ the person in ① ☒ the persons in ③ directly or indirectly, by any means, including by telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means.
- b. ☐ Exception to 11a:
- (1) ☐ You may have brief and peaceful contact with the person in ① only to communicate about your children for court-ordered visits.
- (2) ☐ You may have contact with your children only during court-ordered contact or visits.
- (3) ☐ Other (explain): _____
- c. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or process server or another person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this order.

12 Stay-Away Order ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:

- a. You must stay at least (specify): 100 yards away from (check all that apply):
- ☒ Person in ①. ☒ School of person in ①.
- ☒ Home of person in ①. ☒ Persons in ③.
- ☒ Job or workplace of person in ①. ☒ Children's school or child care.
- ☒ Vehicle of person in ①. ☐ Other (explain): _____
- b. ☐ Exception to 12a:
- The stay-away orders do not apply:
- (1) ☐ For you to exchange your children for court-ordered visits. You must do so briefly and peacefully.
- (2) ☐ For you to visit with your children for court-ordered contact or visits.
- (3) ☐ Other (explain): _____

13 Order to Move Out ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

You must take only personal clothing and belongings needed until the hearing and move out immediately from (address): _____

14 Other Orders ☐ Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

~~Remove www.andrewwatters.com/hall-of-shame and any other websites wherein Mahsa Parviz or her likeness is depicted whether or not the website is managed by Andrew Watters directly or indirectly, recipients of this order shall forthwith remove the offending content~~

This is a Court Order.



- (15) Child Custody and Visitation** ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:
 Granted on the attached form DV-140, Child Custody and Visitation Order, and
☐ (list other form): _____

- (16) Protect Animals** ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:
- a. ☐ You must stay at least _____ yards away from the animals listed below.
- b. ☐ You must not take, sell, hide, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, get rid of, transfer, or borrow against the animals.
- c. ☐ The person in **(1)** is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below.

Name (or other way to ID animal)	Type of animal	Breed (if known)	Color
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

- (17) Control of Property** ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:
 Until the hearing, only the person in **(1)** can use, control, and possess the following property:

- (18) Health and Other Insurance** ☐ Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:
 The person ☐ in **(1)** ☐ in **(2)** is ordered not to cash, borrow against, cancel, transfer, dispose of, or change the beneficiaries of any insurance or coverage held for the benefit of the parties—or their children, if any—for whom support may be ordered, or both.

- (19) Record Communications** ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:
 The person in **(1)** may record communications made by the person in **(2)** that violate this order.

This is a Court Order.



20 Property Restraint Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

The person ☐ in ① ☐ in ② must not transfer, borrow against, sell, hide, or get rid of or destroy any property, including animals, except in the usual course of business or for necessities of life. In addition, each person must notify the other of any new or big expenses and explain them to the court. (If the court granted ⑪, the person in ② must not contact the person in ①. To notify the person in ① of new or big expenses, have a server mail or personally give the information to the person in ① or contact their lawyer, if they have one.)

21 Pay Debts Owed for Property ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

The person in ② must make these payments until this order ends:

Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____
Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____
Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____

22 Orders That May Be Made at the Hearing Date (Court Date)

If the person in ① checked any of these orders on form DV-100, a judge could grant them at your court date.

- Child Support
- Lawyer's Fees and Costs
- Batterer Intervention Program
- Spousal Support
- Pay Expenses Caused by Abuse
- Transfer of Wireless Phone Account

23 No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free. If you want the sheriff to serve your papers, complete form SER-001, Request for Sheriff to Serve Court Papers. Give form SER-001 and a copy of this order to the sheriff.

24 ☐ Attached Pages (All of the attached pages are part of this order.)

a. Number of pages attached to this nine-page form: _____

b. Attachments include forms (check all that apply):

☐ DV-140 ☐ DV-145 ☐ DV-820 ☐ Other: _____

Judge's Signature

Date: 8/4/2026

Electronically

SIGNED

By /s/ Kent, Brooke

Judge or Judicial Officer

This is a Court Order.



Certificate of Compliance With VAWA

This temporary protective order meets all "full faith and credit" requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. section 2265 (1994) (VAWA), upon notice of the restrained person. This court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter; the restrained person has been or will be afforded notice and a timely opportunity to be heard as provided by the laws of this jurisdiction. This order is valid and entitled to enforcement in each jurisdiction throughout the 50 states of the United States, the District of Columbia, all tribal lands, and all U.S. territories, commonwealths, and possessions and shall be enforced as if it were an order of that jurisdiction.

Warnings and Notices to the Restrained Person in ②**Your Address to Receive Court Orders**

If the judge makes a restraining order at the hearing (court date), which has the same orders as in this Temporary Restraining Order, you will get a copy of that order by mail at your last known address, which is written in ② on page 1. If your address was not listed on this form or is incorrect, contact the court. If you did not attend your hearing and want to know if the judge granted a restraining order against you, contact the court.

Child Custody, Visitation, and Support

- **Child custody and visitation:** If you do not attend your hearing (court date), the judge can make custody and visitation orders for your children without hearing from you.
- **Child support:** The judge can order child support based on the income of both parents. The judge can also have that support taken directly from a parent's paycheck. Child support can be a lot of money, and usually you have to pay until the child is age 18. File and serve form FL-150, Income and Expense Declaration, or form FL-155, Financial Statement (Simplified), if you want the judge to have information about your finances. Otherwise, the court may make support orders without hearing from you.
- **Spousal support:** File and serve form FL-150, Income and Expense Declaration, so the judge will have information about your finances. Otherwise, the court may make support orders without hearing from you.

Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition

Under California law, you cannot have any firearms (guns), certain firearm parts, or ammunition. (Family Code sections 6216 and 6389(a)). Ask the court for information on how to properly turn in, sell, or store these items in your city or county. You can also contact your local police department for instructions.

This is a Court Order.



Instructions for Law Enforcement

This order is effective when made. It is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency shall advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then shall enforce it. Violations of this order are subject to criminal penalties.

Duties of Officer Serving This Order

The officer who serves this order on the Restrained Person must do the following:

- Ask if the Restrained Person is in possession of any of the prohibited items listed in ⑥, or has custody or control of any that they have not already turned in.
- Order the Restrained Person to immediately surrender to you all prohibited items.
- Issue a receipt to the Restrained Person for all prohibited items that have been surrendered.
- Complete a proof of personal service and file it with the court. You may use form DV-200 for this purpose.
- Within one business day of service, submit the proof of service directly into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS), including the serving officer's name and law enforcement agency.

Arrest Required if Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed the order, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Penal Code sections 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, the orders remain in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Penal Code section 13710(b).)

Child Custody and Visitation

Child custody and visitation orders are listed on form DV-140 or another attached form. If the judge made these orders, look at ⑪ and ⑫ of this order to see if the judge granted an exception for brief and peaceful contact with the person in ① as needed to follow court-ordered visits. Contact by the person in ② that is not brief and peaceful is a violation of this order. Forms DV-100 and DV-105 are not orders. Do not enforce them.

This is a Court Order.



Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. **Emergency Protective Order (EPO):** If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. **No-Contact Order:** If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item ⑪ is an example of a no-contact order.
3. **Criminal Protective Order (CPO):** If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. **Civil Restraining Orders:** If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

(The clerk will fill out this part.)

Instructions to Clerk: You must give up to three free (certified, stamped, and endorsed) copies of this order to the protected party.

—Clerk's Certificate—

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

I certify that this *Temporary Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: _____ Clerk, by _____, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

DV-116**Order on Request to
Continue Court Hearing**

(Complete ① and ② only. The court will complete the rest of this form.)

① Protected Party: Mahsa Parvis**RECEIVED****② Restrained Party:** Andrew G. Watters**AUG 11 2026**CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
SAN MATEO COUNTY**③ Next Court Date**a. ☐ The request to reschedule the court date is **denied**.

Your court date is: _____

(1) Any *Temporary Restraining Order* (form DV-110) already
granted stays in full force and effect until the next court date.(2) Your court date is not rescheduled because:

_____b. ☒ The request to reschedule the court date is **granted**. The new court
date is listed below. See ④–⑨ for more information.**New
Court
Date**Date: 9/16/26 Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: 32 Room: 7DName and address of court, if different from above:

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Electronically
FILED

By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

ON 08/12/2026

By /s/ Robles, Michael

Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

**Superior Court of California, County of
San Mateo County**
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
26-FAM-01592**④ Option to Attend Court Hearing By Phone or Videoconference**You may attend your court date remotely, such as by phone or videoconference. For more information, go to the
court's website for the county listed above. To find the court's website go to: www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm.**⑤ Temporary Restraining Order**a. ☐ There is no Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) in this case until the next court date because:(1) ☐ A TRO was not previously granted by the court.(2) ☐ The court terminates (cancels) the previously granted TRO because:

_____b. ☒ A Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) is in full force and effect because:(1) ☒ The court extends the TRO previously granted on (date): 8/4/26It now expires on (date): _____ (If no expiration date
is listed, the TRO expires at the end of the court date listed in ③b).(2) ☐ The court changes the TRO previously granted and signs a new TRO (form
DV-110).c. ☐ Other (specify): _____**Warning and Notice to
the Restrained Party:**If ⑤b is checked, a
domestic violence
restraining order has been
issued against you. You
must follow the orders
until they expire.**This is a Court Order**

6 Reason Court Date Is Rescheduled

a. ☐ There is good cause to reschedule the court date (*check one*):

(1) ☐ The protected party has not served the restrained party.

(2) ☐ Other (*explain*):

b. ☒ This is the first time that the restrained party has asked for more time to prepare.

c. ☐ The court reschedules the court date on its own motion.

7 Serving (Giving) Order to Other Party

The request to reschedule was made by the:

a. ☐ Protected party

(1) ☐ You do not have to serve the restrained party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.

(2) ☐ You must have the restrained party personally served with a copy of this order and a copy of all documents listed on form DV-109, item (6), by (date): _____.

(3) ☐ You must have the restrained party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date): _____.

(4) ☐ The court gives you permission to serve the restrained party as listed on the attached form DV-117.

(5) ☐ Other:

b. ☒ Restrained party

(1) ☐ You do not have to serve the protected party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.

(2) ☒ You must have the protected party personally served with a copy of this order by (date): 5 calendar days before the hearing

(3) ☐ You must have the protected party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date): _____.

(4) ☐ Other:

c. ☐ Court

(1) ☐ Further notice is not required.

(2) ☐ The court will mail a copy of this order to all parties by (date): _____.

(3) ☐ Other:

This is a Court Order

8 No Fee to Serve

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free.
Bring a copy of all the papers that need to be served to the sheriff or marshal.

9 ☐ Other Orders

10 ☒ Attached pages *(All of the attached pages are part of this order.)*

- a. Number of pages attached to this three-page form: 9
- b. Attachments include forms *(check all that apply)*:
- ☒ DV-110 ☐ DV-820 ☐ Other: _____

Judge's Signature

Date: 8/12/2026

Electronically
SIGNED

By /s/ Kent, Brooke
Judge or Judicial Officer



Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms.htm for *Disability Accommodation Request (form MC-410)*. (Civil Code section 54.8.)

Instructions to Clerk

If the hearing is rescheduled and the court extended, modified, or terminated a temporary restraining order, then the court must enter this order into CLETS or send this order to law enforcement to enter into CLETS. This must be done within one business day from the day the order is made.

—Clerk's Certificate—

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

I certify that this *Order on Request to Continue Court Hearing (Temporary Restraining Order) (CLETS-TRO)* (form DV-116) is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: _____ Clerk, by: _____, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

DV-310

Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order to Change or End Restraining Order

Instructions: The person making the request must complete items **(1)** and **(2)**.
The court will complete the rest of this form.

(1) Protected Party

Name: Mahsa Parvis

(2) Restrained Party

Name: Andrew G. Watters

(3) Notice of Hearing

A court hearing is scheduled on the request to change or end a domestic violence restraining order:

The current restraining order remains in full force and effect. If the court granted temporary orders in (4) those orders and all nonconflicting orders must be followed until the court hearing below:



Date: 9/16/26

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: 32

Room: 7D

Name and address of court if different from above:

You may attend your court date remotely, such as by phone or videoconference. For more information, go to the court's website for the county listed above. To find the court's website, go to www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm.

(4) ☒ Court's Decision on Request for Temporary Orders

a. ☒ **Denied.** Reasons for denial: Respondent's ex parte request is not an emergency.
(California Rules of Court, rule 5.151(b).)

b. ☐ **Granted.**

(1) The temporary orders listed below in b(2) *(check all that apply)*:

☐ Have been requested by the protected party and are needed to prevent domestic violence.

☐ Are needed to help prevent (1) irreparable harm to a child in this case or (2) a child from being removed from California.

(2) **Temporary Orders**

The following temporary orders remain in full force and effect until the hearing listed in **(3)**:

☐ Temporary orders listed on *(give form number or name of attachment)*: _____

This is a Court Order.



FILED 08/12/2026

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Electronically
FILED

By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo
ON 08/12/2026

By Isi Robles, Michael
Deputy Clerk

RECEIVED

AUG 10 2026

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
SAN MATEO COUNTY

Fill in court name and street address:

**Superior Court of California, County of
San Mateo**
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
26FAM01592

5 Service

- a. ☐ Protected person ☒ Restrained person must have the other party served with a copy of all the forms listed in 5 d by:
- b. (date of deadline): 5 calendar days before the hearing
- c. (1) ☐ This order can be served by mail because it is a request by the protected person and does not include temporary orders.
(2) ☒ This order must be personally served because it is a request by the restrained person.
(3) ☐ This order must be personally served because the court has granted temporary orders.
- d. Forms to serve:
- DV-300, *Request to Change or End Restraining Order*;
 - DV-310, *Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order to Change or End Restraining Order* (this form); and
 - DV-320, *Response to Request to Change or End Restraining Order* (leave blank).

6 No Fee to Serve (Notify) Order

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free. If you want the sheriff to serve your papers, (1) complete form SER-001, *Request for Sheriff to Serve Court Papers*, and (2) give the completed form and a copy of this order to the sheriff.

7 ☐ Attached Pages

All of the attached pages are part of this order.

- a. Number of pages attached to this three-page form: _____
- b. Attachments include forms (check all that apply):
☐ DV-140 ☐ DV-145 ☐ Other: _____

Judge's Signature

Date: 8/12/2026

Electronically

SIGNED

By /s/ Kent, Brooke

Judge or Judicial Officer

This is a Court Order.



To Person in **2**

- **Respond in writing (optional):** You can respond in writing by completing form DV-320, Response to Request to Change or End Restraining Order. File the original with the court, and have someone 18 or over—not you—mail a copy of it to the other party before the hearing. Also file form DV-250, Proof of Service by Mail, with the court before the hearing, and bring a copy to the court hearing.
- **At the hearing:** Whether or not you respond in writing, attend the hearing if you want the judge to hear from you before making a decision. At the hearing, tell the judge why you agree or disagree with the request. Bring any evidence or witnesses you have.



Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms.htm for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civil Code section 54.8.)

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

Instructions to Clerk: If the court made temporary orders in **(4)**, the court must enter this order into CLETS or send this order to law enforcement to enter into CLETS. This must be done within one business day from the day the order is made. You must give up to three free (certified, stamped, and endorsed) copies of this order to the protected party.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order to Change or End Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: _____ Clerk, by _____, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NUMBER: 237990 NAME: Andrew G. Watters FIRM NAME: STREET ADDRESS: 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 135 CITY: Redwood City STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 00009-4065 TELEPHONE NO.: (650) 542-0057 FAX NO.: EMAIL ADDRESS: andrew@watters.law ATTORNEY FOR (name): Respondent, In Pro Per	FOR COURT USE ONLY Electronically FILED By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo ON 08/17/2026 By /s/ Brown, Russell Deputy Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO STREET ADDRESS: 400 County Center MAILING ADDRESS: 400 County Center CITY AND ZIP CODE: Redwood City, 94063 BRANCH NAME: Southern Branch	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Mahsa Parvis DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Andrew G. Watters	
☒ NOTICE OF APPEAL ☐ CROSS-APPEAL (UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE) CASE NUMBER: 26FAM01592	

Notice: Please read *Information on Appeal Procedures for Unlimited Civil Cases* (Judicial Council form APP-001-INFO) before completing this form. This form must be filed in the superior court, not in the Court of Appeal. A copy of this form must also be served on the other party or parties to this appeal. You may use an applicable Judicial Council form (such as APP-009 or APP-009E) for the proof of service. When this document has been completed and a copy served, the original may then be filed with the court with proof of service.

1. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that:

- a. (Name): Andrew G. Watters appeals from a judgment or order in this case.
- b. The judgment or order was entered on (list the date or dates the judgment and each order being appealed were entered):
August 12, 2026
- c. The appeal is from the following order or judgment (check all that apply):
- ☐ Judgment after jury trial
 - ☐ Judgment after court trial
 - ☐ Default judgment
 - ☐ Judgment after an order granting a summary judgment motion
 - ☐ Judgment of dismissal under Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 581d, 583.250, 583.360, or 583.430
 - ☐ Judgment of dismissal after an order sustaining a demurrer
 - ☐ An order after judgment under Code of Civil Procedure, § 904.1(a)(2)
 - ☒ An order or judgment under Code of Civil Procedure, § 904.1(a)(3)–(13)
 - ☐ Other (describe and specify the code section or other authority that authorizes this appeal):
- d. ☐ The judgment or order being appealed directs payment of sanctions by an attorney for a party. The attorney (name): appeals.

2. For cross-appeals only:


- a. Date notice of appeal was filed in original appeal:
- b. Date superior court clerk mailed notice of original appeal:
- c. Court of Appeal case number (if known):

3. ☒ The judgment or order being appealed is attached (optional).

Date: August 14, 2026

Andrew G. Watters

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)


 Andrew G. Watters

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

Page 1 of 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

To the Person in (2): The Judge has granted temporary orders. See (5) through (21). If you do not obey these orders, you can be charged with a crime, go to jail or prison, and/or pay a fine. It is a felony to take or hide a child in violation of this order.

(5) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

- a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited item listed below in b.
- b. **Prohibited items are:**
 - (1) Firearms (guns);
 - (2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers, frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and
 - (3) Ammunition.
- c. Within 24 hours of receiving this order, you must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to law enforcement, any prohibited items you have in your immediate possession or control.
- d. If law enforcement asks you for your prohibited items, you must turn them over immediately.
- e. Within 48 hours of receiving this order, you must file a receipt with the court that proves all prohibited items have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use form DV-800/JV-270, *Receipt for Firearms, Firearm Parts, and Ammunition*.) If law enforcement served you with the restraining order, you must give a copy of the receipt to that law enforcement agency.

(6) ☒ Restrained person has prohibited items

The court finds that you have the following prohibited items:

a. Firearms and/or firearm parts

Description (include serial number, if known)	Location, if known	Proof of compliance received by the court
(1) Petitioner alleges Respondent has a Smith and Wesson located		☐ (date):
(2) in Respondent's apartment at 801 Humboldt Street, San Mateo		☐ (date):
(3)		☐ (date):
(4)		☐ (date):

b. Ammunition

Description	Amount, if known	Location, if known	Proof of compliance received by the court
(1)			☐ (date):
(2)			☐ (date):

This is a Court Order.



7 ☒ **Court Hearing to Review Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition Compliance**

In addition to the hearing listed on form DV-109, item **(3)**, you must attend the court hearing listed below to prove that you have properly turned in, sold, or stored all prohibited items (described in **(5b)**) you still have or own, including any items listed in **(6)**. If you do not attend the court hearing listed below, a judge may find that you have violated the restraining order and notify law enforcement and a prosecuting attorney of the violation.

Name and address of court, if different than court address listed on page 1



Date: 8/24/26

Dept.: 32

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Room: 7D

8 **No Body Armor**

You cannot own, possess, or buy body armor (defined in Penal Code section 16288). You must relinquish any body armor you have in your possession.

9 **Cannot Look for Protected People**

You must not take any action to look for any person protected by this order, including their addresses or locations.

☐ If checked, this order was **not granted** because the judge found good cause not to make the order.

10 **Order to Not Abuse** ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ **Granted as follows:**

You must not do the following things to the person in **(1)** and any person listed in **(3)**:

- Harass, attack, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, stalk, molest, destroy personal property, keep under surveillance, impersonate (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), block movements, annoy by phone or other electronic means (including repeatedly contact), or disturb the peace.
- "Disturb the peace" means to destroy someone's mental or emotional calm. This can be done directly or indirectly, such as through someone else. This can also be done in any way, such as by phone, over text, or online. Disturbing the peace includes coercive control.
- "Coercive control" means a number of acts that unreasonably limit the free will and individual rights of any person protected by this restraining order. Examples include isolating them from friends, relatives, or other support; keeping them from food or basic needs; controlling or keeping track of them, including their movements, contacts, actions, money, or access to services; and making them do something by force, threat, or intimidation, including threats based on actual or suspected immigration status. Coercive control includes reproductive coercion meaning controlling someone's reproductive choices, such as using force, threat, or intimidation to pressure someone to be or not be pregnant, and to control or interfere with someone's contraception, birth control, pregnancy, or access to health information.

This is a Court Order.



11 No-Contact Order ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:

- a. You must not contact ☒ the person in ① ☒ the persons in ③ directly or indirectly, by any means, including by telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means.
- b. ☐ Exception to 11a:
- (1) ☐ You may have brief and peaceful contact with the person in ① only to communicate about your children for court-ordered visits.
- (2) ☐ You may have contact with your children only during court-ordered contact or visits.
- (3) ☐ Other (explain): _____
- c. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or process server or another person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this order.

12 Stay-Away Order ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:

- a. You must stay at least (specify): 100 yards away from (check all that apply):
- ☒ Person in ①. ☒ School of person in ①.
- ☒ Home of person in ①. ☒ Persons in ③.
- ☒ Job or workplace of person in ①. ☒ Children's school or child care.
- ☒ Vehicle of person in ①. ☐ Other (explain): _____
- b. ☐ Exception to 12a:
- The stay-away orders do not apply:
- (1) ☐ For you to exchange your children for court-ordered visits. You must do so briefly and peacefully.
- (2) ☐ For you to visit with your children for court-ordered contact or visits.
- (3) ☐ Other (explain): _____

13 Order to Move Out ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

You must take only personal clothing and belongings needed until the hearing and move out immediately from (address): _____

14 Other Orders ☐ Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

~~Remove www.andrew.watters.com/hall-of-shame and any other websites wherein Mahsa Parviz or her likeness is depicted whether or not the website is managed by Andrew Watters directly or indirectly; recipients of this order shall forthwith remove the offending content~~

This is a Court Order.

- 15 Child Custody and Visitation** ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:
 Granted on the attached form DV-140, Child Custody and Visitation Order, and
☐ (list other form): _____

- 16 Protect Animals** ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:
- a. ☐ You must stay at least _____ yards away from the animals listed below.
 - b. ☐ You must not take, sell, hide, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, get rid of, transfer, or borrow against the animals.
 - c. ☐ The person in **1** is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below.

Name (or other way to ID animal)	Type of animal	Breed (if known)	Color
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

- 17 Control of Property** ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:
 Until the hearing, only the person in **1** can use, control, and possess the following property:

- 18 Health and Other Insurance** ☐ Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:
 The person ☐ in **1** ☐ in **2** is ordered not to cash, borrow against, cancel, transfer, dispose of, or change the beneficiaries of any insurance or coverage held for the benefit of the parties—or their children, if any—for whom support may be ordered, or both.

- 19 Record Communications** ☐ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☒ Granted as follows:
 The person in **1** may record communications made by the person in **2** that violate this order.

This is a Court Order.

(20) Property Restraint Not requested ☒ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

The person ☐ in ① ☐ in ② must not transfer, borrow against, sell, hide, or get rid of or destroy any property, including animals, except in the usual course of business or for necessities of life. In addition, each person must notify the other of any new or big expenses and explain them to the court. (If the court granted ①, the person in ② must not contact the person in ①. To notify the person in ① of new or big expenses, have a server mail or personally give the information to the person in ① or contact their lawyer, if they have one.)

(21) Pay Debts Owed for Property ☒ Not requested ☐ Denied until the hearing ☐ Granted as follows:

The person in ② must make these payments until this order ends:

Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____
Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____
Pay to: _____	For: _____	Amount: \$ _____	Due date: _____

(22) Orders That May Be Made at the Hearing Date (Court Date)

If the person in ① checked any of these orders on form DV-100, a judge could grant them at your court date.

- Child Support • Lawyer's Fees and Costs • Batterer Intervention Program
- Spousal Support • Pay Expenses Caused by Abuse • Transfer of Wireless Phone Account

(23) No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free. If you want the sheriff to serve your papers, complete form SER-001, Request for Sheriff to Serve Court Papers. Give form SER-001 and a copy of this order to the sheriff.

(24) ☐ Attached Pages (All of the attached pages are part of this order.)

a. Number of pages attached to this nine-page form: _____

b. Attachments include forms (check all that apply):

☐ DV-140 ☐ DV-145 ☐ DV-820 ☐ Other: _____

Judge's Signature

Date: 8/4/2026

Electronically

SIGNED

By /s/ Kent, Brooke

Judge or Judicial Officer

This is a Court Order.

Certificate of Compliance With VAWA

This temporary protective order meets all "full faith and credit" requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. section 2265 (1994) (VAWA), upon notice of the restrained person. This court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter; the restrained person has been or will be afforded notice and a timely opportunity to be heard as provided by the laws of this jurisdiction. This order is valid and entitled to enforcement in each jurisdiction throughout the 50 states of the United States, the District of Columbia, all tribal lands, and all U.S. territories, commonwealths, and possessions and shall be enforced as if it were an order of that jurisdiction.

Warnings and Notices to the Restrained Person in ②

Your Address to Receive Court Orders

If the judge makes a restraining order at the hearing (court date), which has the same orders as in this Temporary Restraining Order, you will get a copy of that order by mail at your last known address, which is written in ② on page 1. If your address was not listed on this form or is incorrect, contact the court. If you did not attend your hearing and want to know if the judge granted a restraining order against you, contact the court.

Child Custody, Visitation, and Support

- **Child custody and visitation:** If you do not attend your hearing (court date), the judge can make custody and visitation orders for your children without hearing from you.
- **Child support:** The judge can order child support based on the income of both parents. The judge can also have that support taken directly from a parent's paycheck. Child support can be a lot of money, and usually you have to pay until the child is age 18. File and serve form **FL-150, Income and Expense Declaration**, or form **FL-155, Financial Statement (Simplified)**, if you want the judge to have information about your finances. Otherwise, the court may make support orders without hearing from you.
- **Spousal support:** File and serve form **FL-150, Income and Expense Declaration**, so the judge will have information about your finances. Otherwise, the court may make support orders without hearing from you.

Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition

Under California law, you cannot have any firearms (guns), certain firearm parts, or ammunition. (Family Code sections 6216 and 6389(a)). Ask the court for information on how to properly turn in, sell, or store these items in your city or county. You can also contact your local police department for instructions.

This is a Court Order.



Instructions for Law Enforcement

This order is effective when made. It is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency shall advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then shall enforce it. Violations of this order are subject to criminal penalties.

Duties of Officer Serving This Order

The officer who serves this order on the Restrained Person must do the following:

- Ask if the Restrained Person is in possession of any of the prohibited items listed in (6), or has custody or control of any that they have not already turned in.
- Order the Restrained Person to immediately surrender to you all prohibited items.
- Issue a receipt to the Restrained Person for all prohibited items that have been surrendered.
- Complete a proof of personal service and file it with the court. You may use form DV-200 for this purpose.
- Within one business day of service, submit the proof of service directly into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS), including the serving officer's name and law enforcement agency.

Arrest Required if Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed the order, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Penal Code sections 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, the orders remain in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Penal Code section 13710(b).)

Child Custody and Visitation

Child custody and visitation orders are listed on form DV-140 or another attached form. If the judge made these orders, look at (11) and (12) of this order to see if the judge granted an exception for brief and peaceful contact with the person in (1) as needed to follow court-ordered visits. Contact by the person in (2) that is not brief and peaceful is a violation of this order. Forms DV-100 and DV-105 are not orders. Do not enforce them.

This is a Court Order.



Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. **Emergency Protective Order (EPO):** If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. **No-Contact Order:** If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item (11) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. **Criminal Protective Order (CPO):** If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. **Civil Restraining Orders:** If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

(The clerk will fill out this part.)

Instructions to Clerk: You must give up to three free (certified, stamped, and endorsed) copies of this order to the protected party.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Temporary Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: _____ Clerk, by _____, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

Rev. January 1, 2025

Temporary Restraining Order
(CLETS-TRO) (Domestic Violence Prevention)

DV-110, Page 9 of 9

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form

Save this form

Clear this form

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT B

DV-310

Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order to Change or End Restraining Order

Instructions: The person making the request must complete items ① and ②.
The court will complete the rest of this form.

① Protected Party

Name: Mahsa Parvis

② Restrained Party

Name: Andrew G. Watters

③ Notice of Hearing

A court hearing is scheduled on the request to change or end a domestic violence restraining order:

The current restraining order remains in full force and effect. If the court granted temporary orders in ④ those orders and all nonconflicting orders must be followed until the court hearing below:



Date: 9/16/26

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: 32

Room: 7D

Name and address of court if different from above:

You may attend your court date remotely, such as by phone or videoconference. For more information, go to the court's website for the county listed above. To find the court's website, go to www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm.

④ ☒ Court's Decision on Request for Temporary Orders

a. ☒ **Denied.** Reasons for denial: Respondent's ex parte request is not an emergency.

(California Rules of Court, rule 5.151(b).)

b. ☐ **Granted.**

(1) The temporary orders listed below in b(2) (check all that apply):

☐ Have been requested by the protected party and are needed to prevent domestic violence.

☐ Are needed to help prevent (1) irreparable harm to a child in this case or (2) a child from being removed from California.

(2) **Temporary Orders**

The following temporary orders remain in full force and effect until the hearing listed in ③:

☐ Temporary orders listed on (give form number or name of attachment): _____

This is a Court Order.

Judicial Council of California, www.courts.ca.gov
New January 1, 2025, Mandatory Form
Family Code, § 6345

**Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order
to Change or End Restraining Order
(Domestic Violence Prevention)**

DV-310, Page 1 of 3



Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Electronically
FILED

By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

ON 08/12/2026

By /s/ Robles, Michael

Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

**Superior Court of California, County of
San Mateo**
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
26FAM01592

AUG 10 PM 2:57

5 Service

- a. ☐ Protected person ☒ Restrained person must have the other party served with a copy of all the forms listed in (5) d by:
- b. (date of deadline): 5 calendar days before the hearing
- c. (1) ☐ This order can be served by mail because it is a request by the protected person and does not include temporary orders.
(2) ☒ This order must be personally served because it is a request by the restrained person.
(3) ☐ This order must be personally served because the court has granted temporary orders.
- d. Forms to serve:
- DV-300, *Request to Change or End Restraining Order*;
 - DV-310, *Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order to Change or End Restraining Order* (this form); and
 - DV-320, *Response to Request to Change or End Restraining Order* (leave blank).

6 No Fee to Serve (Notify) Order

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free. If you want the sheriff to serve your papers, (1) complete form SER-001, Request for Sheriff to Serve Court Papers, and (2) give the completed form and a copy of this order to the sheriff.

7 ☐ Attached Pages

All of the attached pages are part of this order.

- a. Number of pages attached to this three-page form: _____
- b. Attachments include forms (check all that apply):
☐ DV-140 ☐ DV-145 ☐ Other: _____

Judge's Signature

Date: 8/12/2026

Electronically
SIGNED

By /s/ Kent, Brooke

Judge or Judicial Officer

This is a Court Order.



To Person in **2**

- **Respond in writing (optional):** You can respond in writing by completing form DV-320, Response to Request to Change or End Restraining Order. File the original with the court, and have someone 18 or over—not you—mail a copy of it to the other party before the hearing. Also file form DV-250, Proof of Service by Mail, with the court before the hearing, and bring a copy to the court hearing.
- **At the hearing:** Whether or not you respond in writing, attend the hearing if you want the judge to hear from you before making a decision. At the hearing, tell the judge why you agree or disagree with the request. Bring any evidence or witnesses you have.



Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms.htm for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civil Code section 54.8.)

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

Instructions to Clerk: If the court made temporary orders in **(4)**, the court must enter this order into CLETS or send this order to law enforcement to enter into CLETS. This must be done within one business day from the day the order is made. You must give up to three free (certified, stamped, and endorsed) copies of this order to the protected party.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Notice of Court Hearing and Temporary Order to Change or End Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: _____ Clerk, by _____, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT C

DV-116**Order on Request to
Continue Court Hearing**

(Complete ① and ② only. The court will complete the rest of this form.)

① **Protected Party:** Mahsa Parvis**RECEIVED**② **Restrained Party:** Andrew G. Watters

AUG 11 2026

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
SAN MATEO COUNTY③ **Next Court Date**

- a.
- ☐
- The request to reschedule the court date is
- denied**
- .
-
- Your court date is: _____

- (1) Any
- Temporary Restraining Order*
- (form DV-110) already
-
- granted stays in full force and effect until the next court date.
-
- (2) Your court date is not rescheduled because:
-
- _____
-
- _____

- b.
- ☒
- The request to reschedule the court date is
- granted**
- . The new court
-
- date is listed below. See ④–⑨ for more information.

**New
Court
Date**Date: 9/16/26Time: 8:30 a.m.Dept.: 32Room: 7D

Name and address of court, if different from above: _____

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Electronically
FILED

By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

ON 08/12/2026

By /s/ Robles, Michael

Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
San Mateo County
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
26-FAM-01592④ **Option to Attend Court Hearing By Phone or Videoconference**You may attend your court date remotely, such as by phone or videoconference. For more information, go to the
court's website for the county listed above. To find the court's website go to: www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm.⑤ **Temporary Restraining Order**

- a.
- ☐
- There is no
- Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)**
- in this case until the next court date because:

- (1)
- ☐
- A TRO was not previously granted by the court.
-
- (2)
- ☐
- The court terminates (cancels) the previously granted TRO because:
-
- _____
-
- _____

- b.
- ☒
- A
- Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)**
- is in full force and effect because:

- (1)
- ☒
- The court extends the TRO previously granted on (date):
- 8/4/26
-
- It now expires on (date): _____ (If no expiration date
-
- is listed, the TRO expires at the end of the court date listed in ③b).
-
- (2)
- ☐
- The court changes the TRO previously granted and signs a new TRO (form
-
- DV-110).

- c.
- ☐
- Other (specify): _____

**Warning and Notice to
the Restrained Party:**
If ⑤b is checked, a
domestic violence
restraining order has been
issued against you. You
must follow the orders
until they expire.

This is a Court Order



6 Reason Court Date Is Rescheduled

a. ☐ There is good cause to reschedule the court date (*check one*):

- (1) ☐ The protected party has not served the restrained party.
(2) ☐ Other (*explain*):

b. ☒ This is the first time that the restrained party has asked for more time to prepare.

c. ☐ The court reschedules the court date on its own motion.

7 Serving (Giving) Order to Other Party

The request to reschedule was made by the:

a. ☐ Protected party

(1) ☐ You do not have to serve the restrained party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.

(2) ☐ You must have the restrained party personally served with a copy of this order and a copy of all documents listed on form DV-109, item ⑥, by (date): _____.

(3) ☐ You must have the restrained party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date): _____.

(4) ☐ The court gives you permission to serve the restrained party as listed on the attached form DV-117.

(5) ☐ Other:

b. ☒ Restrained party

(1) ☐ You do not have to serve the protected party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.

(2) ☒ You must have the protected party personally served with a copy of this order by (date): 5 calendar days before the hearing

(3) ☐ You must have the protected party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date): _____.

(4) ☐ Other:

c. ☐ Court

(1) ☐ Further notice is not required.

(2) ☐ The court will mail a copy of this order to all parties by (date): _____.

(3) ☐ Other:

This is a Court Order

Case Number:
26-FAM-01592

8 No Fee to Serve

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free.
Bring a copy of all the papers that need to be served to the sheriff or marshal.

9 ☐ Other Orders

10 ☒ Attached pages (All of the attached pages are part of this order.)

a. Number of pages attached to this three-page form: 9

b. Attachments include forms (check all that apply):

☒ DV-110 ☐ DV-820 ☐ Other: _____

Judge's Signature

Date: 8/12/2026

Electronically
SIGNED

By /s/ Kent, Brooke

Judge or Judicial Officer



Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms.htm for Disability Accommodation Request (form MC-410). (Civil Code section 54.8.)

Instructions to Clerk

If the hearing is rescheduled and the court extended, modified, or terminated a temporary restraining order, then the court must enter this order into CLETS or send this order to law enforcement to enter into CLETS. This must be done within one business day from the day the order is made.

—Clerk's Certificate—

Clerk's Certificate

[seal]

I certify that this *Order on Request to Continue Court Hearing (Temporary Restraining Order) (CLETS-TRO)* (form DV-116) is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: _____ Clerk, by: _____, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

Rev. January 1, 2023

**Order on Request to Continue Court Hearing
(Temporary Restraining Order) (CLETS-TRO)
(Domestic Violence Prevention)**

DV-116, Page 3 of 3



SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

APPELLATE DIVISION

Hall of Justice and Records

400 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

(650) 261-5200

www.sanmateo.courts.ca.gov

NOTICE OF FILING OF APPEAL

MAHSA PARVIS

PLAINTIFF/RESPONDENT

VS

ANDREW GEORGE WATTERS

DEFENDANT/APPELLANT

Superior Court No. 26-FAM-01592

Notice is hereby given that a NOTICE OF APPEAL was filed in the above action on 08/17/26, from the Judgment ordered/entered on 08/12/26

DATED: **August 17, 2026**

CLERK OF THE COURT,

Clerk of the Superior Court

By: **/s/ Brown, Russell**

Russell Brown, Deputy Clerk

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

I declare under penalty of perjury that on the above stated date, I transmitted via electronic mail, or deposited in the United States Post Office mailbox at Redwood City, California, a true copy of the above notice, enclosed in an envelope, with the proper and necessary postage prepaid, and addressed as listed below.

Mahsa Parvis

in pro per

950 Mason St

#350

San Francisco, CA 94108

Court of Appeal

First Appellate District

1DC-CivilIntake@jud.ca.gov

Andrew George Watters

in pro per

andrew@watters.law

Executed on the date stated above at Redwood City, California.

CLERK OF THE COURT,

Clerk of the Superior Court

By: **/s/ Brown, Russell**

Russell Brown, Deputy Court Clerk